TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES BOARD MEETING

Friday, February 13, 2015

Lone Star Room
Building 1
4000 Jackson Avenue
Austin, Texas

BOARD MEMBERS:

Johnny Walker, Chair
Laura Ryan, Vice-Chair
Robert "Barney" Barnwell, III
Luanne Caraway
Blake Ingram
Raymond Palacios
Victor Rodriguez
Marvin Rush
Joseph Slovacek

I N D E X

AGEN	IDA ITI	<u>EM</u>	PAGE
1.	CALL A. B. C.	Public Comment	4 5 5
2.	Α.	JTIVE SESSION Section 551.071 Section 551.074	8
3.	ACTIO	ON ITEMS FROM EXECUTIVE SESSION	_
4.	REPOI		29 35 41
		 Internal Audit a. Internal Audit Division Status Update - February 13, 2015 b. Audit of TxDMV's Use of Contract Workers c. Peer Review 	113
	В.	Legislative & Public Affairs 1. 84th Legislature 2. Single Sticker Media Campaign	108 113
	С.	Projects & Operations 1. Enterprise Projects Update 2. Headquarters Facilities Update 3. Vehicle Titles & Registration Working Group	115 129 134
		4. Board Key Performance Indicators	137
	D. Autho	Automobile Burglary and Theft Prevention ority (ABTPA) Activities Update	51
5.	CONT	LUTION for INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION - ESTED CASE sfer of Ownership by Dealer Under Occupation	9 1s

65

Code, Section 2301.359
13-0008 LIC - SOAH Docket No. 608-13-4599 LIC,
Budget Leasing, Inc. d/b/a Audi North Austin and
Audi South Austin and Ricardo M. Weitz, Hi Tech
Imports North, LLC, Hi Tech Imports South, LLC,
Hi Tech Imports, LLC v. Volkswagen Group of Am.,
Inc. and Porsche Cars North America

- 6. RESOLUTIONS for INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION RULES
 A. Adoption of Rules under Title 43, Texas 60
 Administrative Code
 1. Chapter 217. Vehicle Titles and
 - 1. Chapter 217, Vehicle Titles and Registration
 - a. Rule Review, Government Code, §2001.039

(Proposal Published December 19, 2014 - 39 Tex. Reg. 10037)

- b. Restructuring, Renumbering,
 Amendments, and New Subchapter
 (Proposal Published December 19,
 2014 39 Tex. Reg. 9818)
- - b. Amendments, Repeal, and New Subchapter (Proposal Published October 17, 2014 - 39 Tex. Reg. 8240)
- B. Proposal of Rules under Title 43, Texas Administrative Code
 - Chapter 206, Management
 a. Rule Review, Government Code, §2001.039

Repeals / Amendments

- 2. Chapter 217, Vehicle Titles and
 Registration
 Section 217.27, Vehicle Registration
 Insignia [currently Section 217.22(c)]
- 3. Chapter 219, Oversize and Overweight 73 Vehicles and Loads Subchapters A - F and H - Amendments
- 7. BRIEFINGS, DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION
 - A. RDT Enterprise (Dallas/Carrollton Regional 89 Service Center, Commercial Property Lease

139

Renewal)

8. ADJOURNMENT

	ProMiles Software Development Corporation for Texas Permitting & Routing Optimization em (TxPROS) (Website Hosting, Contract Renewal)	93
C.	Explore Contract for Texas International Registration Plan (TxIRP), Performance and Registration Information Systems Management (PRISM), and Fleet Registration ewal)	98
D.	Specialty Plate Designs Vendor Plates: 1. Flag of Texas 2. Dallas Mavericks (Crossover) 3. Texas Oil and Gas 4. Lone Star 1836 5. Gas Monkey Garage Non-Vendor Plate:	75 79 76
	6. Texas Wildflower (Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, College of Natural Sciences at the University of Texas at Austin)	70

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

MR. WALKER: Good morning. My name is Johnny I am pleased to open the Board meeting of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. It is 8:08 a.m. and I'm now calling the meeting for February 13, Friday, the 13th on top of that, to order.

I want to note for the record that public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on February 5, 2015.

Before we begin to, please place all cell phones -- and I need to do that myself -- in the silent mode.

If you wish to address the board during today's meeting, please complete a speaker's sheet at the registration table. To comment on an agenda item, please complete a yellow sheet and identify the agenda item on which you would like to speak and make comments on.

Now I'd like to make a roll call of the board members. Vice Chairman Ryan is not here. She was going to be here and had to leave. She notified me last night that she had some kind of, I think, family emergency she had to deal with.

Board Member Barnwell?

MR. BARNWELL: Present.

25

1	MR. WALKER: Board Member Caraway?
2	MS. CARAWAY: Here.
3	MR. WALKER: Board Member Palacios?
4	MR. PALACIOS: Present.
5	MR. WALKER: Board Member Rodriguez?
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Here.
7	MR. WALKER: And Board Member Rush?
8	Marvin notified me yesterday he was going to be
9	here. Has anybody tried to contact him? Terri?
10	Okay. We'll try to get a hold of Marvin. As
11	far as I know, Marvin was supposed to be here today.
12	Board Member Slovacek?
13	MR. SLOVACEK: Here.
14	MR. WALKER: Let the record reflect that I,
15	Johnny Walker, am also here, and we have a quorum.
16	Also, let the record reflect that Board Members
17	Ryan, Ingram and Mr. Rush is not present at this time.
18	I knew you were coming, I heard you were
19	coming. Let the record be corrected that Mr. Rush is here
20	now.
21	Section 1001.023 of the Transportation Code
22	sets out duties of the board chairman and vice chairman.
23	One of the duties of the chairman is to report to the
24	Governor on the state of affairs of the department. I
25	submitted that report to Governor Abbott on January 23,

2015. The report includes updates on agency staff, budget, enterprise projects, key accomplishments from the legislative agenda. It also contains information on the need for the appropriations to acquire property for the agency and also the need for continuing funding for the automation projects in order to continue the momentum of providing optimum services for the state.

The report has been and continues to be distributed to legislators as we meet with them and their staff on a continual basis. It is posted on the agency website, both externally and internally, for all to access and to read. Please reference this report however you need to to communicate to others about the agency as well as information on where the report can be found. On the public website at the bottom of the page it is under Learn More and Reports and Data.

So I have filed the report with the Governor's Office, and if you would like to see a copy of that, it's available to you and we can make it available to you, and it kind of summarizes everything the agency has done for the last year and where we anticipate going going forward.

At this time what we're going to do is we're going to go into an executive session to deal with some issues that the board has that we need to go into. It is 8:13 right now and we're going into closed session. I

don't anticipate us to be back until about probably 9:20,
9:30, somewhere in that area of time, because we've got
some pretty big legal issues that we need to go over.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Chairman, before we go
there, I'd like to recognize under comments the fact that
we have the chair of the Automobile Burglary and Theft
Prevention Authority, which as you know, is a separate and

independent authority that effectively operates under the
Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. It's chair, Carlos

Garcia, is here, and I'd like to recognize him, he's going

11 to be at our meeting today. Thank you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GARCIA: Chairman, Mr. Rodriguez. Thank you for having me.

MR. WALKER: Thank you for coming, Carlos. No wonder I couldn't see you, you're hiding behind that post over there.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think we'll hear from later on today. I just wanted to recognize Carlos. Thank you.

MR. WALKER: Thank you.

With that, we will recess the public portion of this meeting until the executive session is over, under Sections 551.071 and 551.074.

(Whereupon, at 9:44 p.m., the meeting was recessed, to reconvene this same day, Friday, February 13, 2015, following conclusion of the executive session.)

MR. WALKER: It is approximately 9:44 on February 13, 2015. The Board of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles is now back in open session. I want to note for the record that no action was taken in closed session.

And what we're going to do is we're going to change things up here a little bit on my order of things. I have, I think, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, I have nine people who have requested to make comments on the action item number 5, which is Resolution for individual consideration, Transfer of ownership of a dealership under Occupation Code, Section 2301.359, Daniel Avitia, which is the Audi North Austin, Audi South Austin, Ricardo Weitz and Hi Tech Imports.

So I think the people whose yellow requests here I have, we have looked at these and I've come to the conclusion that I have buyers, I have sellers, I have manufacturers, and I have dealers here, and so we're going to ask, to save some time, I'm not going to deprive anybody of their right to speak before the board today, but if you are in one of these groups and you would like to consolidate what you want to do, I'm going to give you a little bit of an opportunity to do that right now, to kind of get together. So if there's three of you, instead of all three of you speaking, if you want to consolidate

and speak as one as a group, it would be nice to do that so that we save some time here so we're not here till six o'clock tonight.

And I'm notifying you of this because I'm going to do this -- we're going to hear these public comments after the staff presents us the information on this particular case and we will rule on it at that point in time. I understand if you feel like you would like to speak -- and some of you may be over here, I apologize -- but if you would like to speak individually and not as a group, I would be more than happy to allow two of you to speak or three of you. I will not deprive anybody of their right to speak and express your opinion before the board.

Each of you will be allowed three minutes of time to do that. I think we have a timekeeper here somewhere -- yes, I do have a timekeeper. We'll keep a pretty close eye on that. If you finish up early, I appreciate it, if you delay and you go a little bit longer, I'm not going to shut you down, but I will ask you to try to keep your comments to three minutes.

So at this point I'm going to move forward and I'm going to go to item number 5 on the agenda. I'm going to move it forward because I know that with all these comments here I know that your time is as valuable to you

as ours is to us, and I'd hate to keep you here all day long listening to things that maybe you don't want to hear about, so we'll let you move. Especially those of you that have attorneys, I've paid enough attorney bills in my life to know that they get very expensive, so you want to get them cut as short as you can.

So David, I'm going to turn it over to you and we're going to go to item number 5 on the agenda today.

MR. DUNCAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members.

David Duncan, DMV general counsel

As the chairman stated, agenda item number 5 is the Budget Leasing v. Audi Franchise contested case. A little bit of background. At the September 12 open meeting, the department staff presented this matter to the board. At that meeting the staff took the position that the record lacked required evidence needed for the board to act on the application. The staff recommended that the case be dismissed because the protesting dealer did not properly invoke the agency's jurisdiction. The board agreed with the staff and dismissed the seller's protest for that reason.

The record before the board on September 12 indicated that the statutorily required written agreement with the transferee was not provided in the case and is, therefore, not in the record. It was the absence of this

document that the board cited in its order as the basis for dismissal. I'd like to clarify that neither staff's original recommendation nor the board's September order was based on issues with certified mail, and the staff's current position does not rely on arguments on this issue. Based on what we knew at the time, the staff's recommendation and the board's action in September reached a correct outcome.

In line with the department's values of transparency, accountability and service to stakeholders, I need to point out that the term "jurisdiction" that was used in that original order was technically incorrect. We should have based the order on failure to comply with a mandatory statutory requirement. This legal argument was noted by the TADA amicus brief filed during the motion for rehearing process. Simply put, the board has always had jurisdiction over this matter.

In response to the September 12 board order, the seller and buyer timely filed a joint motion to urge the board to rehear that order. On December 10, 2014, the board granted the request for rehearing. In granting the motion for rehearing, the board found that substantial controversies continue to exist and that these controversies require adjudication within the contested case process. The motion and the board's action on it

were proper as this matter was and still is a contested case, subject to the board's authority and the board's September 12 order was never final and appealable.

Since the September meeting, the staff was made aware of and received a copy of a document that may satisfy the statutory requirement that was discussed in the September board meeting. Because that item is clearly missing from the SOAH record, it's the staff's position that the most appropriate outcome is to remand the matter to SOAH so that the document can be considered by the SOAH judges. The further adjudication referred to in the board's December 10 order requires a remand to SOAH because TxDMV hearing functions, including the collection of evidence and the findings and conclusions of law, are generally delegated to SOAH administrative law judges in accordance with Texas Occupations Code, Section 2301.704(a).

All the parties to this case were provided with copies of the staff's recommendation and the accompanying documents in advance of today's meeting, and the staff has prepared a draft order in line with that for your consideration.

I've got with me Mr. Daniel Avitia, the director of the Motor Vehicle Division, in case there are any technical questions regarding the Motor Vehicle

1	Division position on this, and we're available to answer
2	any questions.
3	MR. SLOVACEK: What is the staff's
4	recommendation?
5	MR. DUNCAN: To remand to SOAH to consider the
6	document that we received in the period between the
7	board's last action and today.
8	MR. SLOVACEK: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion
9	that we remand to SOAH, for the reasons outlined by Mr.
10	Duncan, this entire case, for all the reasons set forth,
11	to determine whether the interveners have, in fact,
12	satisfied the conditions of the proposal for decision.
13	MR. PALACIOS: I second the motion.
14	MR. WALKER: We have a motion by Member
15	Slovacek and we have as second by Raymond Palacios. Do we
16	have any comments or any questions by any of the board
17	members?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. WALKER: If so, I'd like to call a vote.
20	All in favor of the motion?
21	MR. SLOVACEK: Point of order. You said you
22	were going to allow the people to talk about it.
23	MR. WALKER: I'm sorry. You're right, you're
24	right.
25	MR. SLOVACEK: Not that I think you ought to

1 let them talk, but I'm just saying. 2 (General laughter.) 3 MR. WALKER: Okay. So at this point in time, I 4 guess we're going to hear comments before we vote on this 5 real quick, and I don't think that the board is going to 6 answer any questions but we will listen to your comments, 7 and I guess I can start with Thomas Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: (Speaking from audience.) I'll 8 9 reserve my comments for now. I think we'll stand on our 10 brief, unless someone on the board has a question. 11 MR. WALKER: Okay. Thank you, Judge Phillips. Matt Wood. 12 13 MR. WOOD: (Speaking from audience.) I work 14 with Tom Phillips. 15 MR. WALKER: This might be quicker than I 16 thought. 17 Billy Donley. 18 MR. DONLEY: (Speaking from audience.) Mr. 19 Chairman, before I address the board, I do have a request, 20 though. When all the other parties or all the other individuals that have signed up to address the board -- I 21 22 assume they're on the other side of it -- it might be 23 better if I heard their comments before I speak. 24 MR. WALKER: I would be more than happy to do 25 that.

MR. DONLEY: Thank you very much.

MR. WALKER: Bill Crocker.

MR. CROCKER: Yes, sir.

I'll be, as the old judge over in Mississippi once told me, mercifully brief. There is a saying that lawyers and judges have used for years that -- well, first, let me offer this thought. We support -- I'm speaking on behalf of -- let's go back and start this properly.

My name is Bill Crocker. I practice law here in Austin and I'm here on behalf the sellers in this transaction, ultimately, two gentlemen named Roger Beasley and David Stein. Mr. Stein is here in the audience.

First, let me tell you that we support the motion to remand this to SOAH for the limited purposes outlined. I want to also offer you one thought, and that's the thought that I started with a moment ago that Judges and lawyers have had for years, that justice delayed is justice denied.

This contract for the sale of these dealerships was executed in December of 2012, and it's four dealerships, two Audi dealerships, one Porsche dealership and one Maserati dealership, they're all here in Austin. The sale price of these dealerships and the real estate is \$66,500,000. The franchise application process was begun

immediately, and Maserati had no problems with approving the application. The evidence shows that Porsche was almost immediately ready to approve until Audi decided to withhold its approval, and Porsche, by the way, has not participated in this proceeding and just agreed to abide by its outcome.

2.5

Nearly two years later we are still awaiting a decision as to whether or not Audi can show or has shown good cause for denying the franchise applications for the two dealerships in Austin. We have two men who want to sell and one man who wants to buy, but they still don't have a decision on whether or not Audi has good cause to deny their opportunity to close the \$66-1/2 million transaction.

My clients support this proposal to send this back to SOAH, but they hope it's a very quick hearing to determine one small matter of fact. If that's what the staff thinks is necessary to clear up the issue of jurisdiction, we totally support that. Once that is done, we would urge that he board quickly, at the earliest opportunity, make a final decision in this matter.

Again, I will tell you that justice delayed is justice denied, and we've got people who've been twisting in the wind now for two years.

If you have any questions, I'd be happy to

1 respond. Thank you very much. 2 MR. WALKER: No, but I will make a comment to 3 you. 4 MR. CROCKER: All right, sir. 5 MR. WALKER: And I wasn't going to do this but 6 I'm going to make a comment to you because I think you're 7 entitled to it. Mr. Crocker, I'm a business person and I 8 own a company I purchased and I've seen transactions, many 9 of them in my time, and I would have to agree with you 100 10 percent that the process has been delayed too long and 11 that what this board is going to do is that we're going to 12 ask that SOAH expedite your case and get it moved along 13 quickly, and I can promise you, as the chairman of this 14 board, that as soon as we get this back, if it comes back 15 to us, if it's not resolved through the SOAH hearing, that 16 we will expedite and move the process along as quickly as 17 possible. You have my word on that. 18 MR. CROCKER: That's all we could ask, Mr. 19 Chairman. Thank you very much. 20 MR. WALKER: Let's see here. Joe Letzer. 21 (Discussion between Mr. Walker and timekeeper 22 regarding how time clock works.) 23 MR. LETZER: May it please the Board. 24 My name is Joe Letzer. I'm with Burr and Forman

Law Firm and I come from Alabama, and I'll be speaking on

2.5

behalf of the buyers in this transaction, Hi Tech Motor Cars.

2.5

As the board is well aware, we have filed a brief, as has TADA, and it is our belief that substantial compliance with the notice provisions is sufficient. We stand by that position, however, the board has raised the question as to whether there's strict compliance in this instance and have recommended a remand to SOAH to explore that issue under the applicable authority, and we agree with that action on behalf of buyers.

Now, I don't know what criticism Mr. Donley is going to make of that proposed order, and I'd like to reserve my remaining time to address whatever attacks he has on that order.

MR. WALKER: Well, I really don't want to get into argument. The purpose of this is to make comments, and I apologize, maybe, that I let him go after you so that he can argue that point, but I would prefer that we keep this to comments and we're not going to debate the case here in front of the board today. So if you don't mind, would you just continue and give me the rest, if you have any further comments? Because I'm not going to get into arguing the case here today.

MR. LETZER: Okay. Well, we are in agreement with the staff recommendation because it is expeditious,

1 it should be relatively inexpensive, and we should be back 2 here in a fairly quick manner. It doesn't involve 3 additional discovery, it doesn't involve additional 4 expense. 5 We believe what you're going to hear from the 6 manufacturer here, Mr. Donley, is that he wants to take up 7 issues like es parte communications, which has already been resolved by this board, cured by actions of your 8

11 involving additional discovery, and we oppose that action. And I don't know what he's going to say in addition, but 12 13 as long as it's as written on the narrow basis that it's

general counsel, Mr. Duncan. Lord knows what else he

wants to bring up so that it becomes a prolonged procedure

written, we agree with the staff's recommendation.

MR. WALKER: Thank you, Mr. Letzer.

I'll be glad to answer any MR. LETZER: questions you have. Thank you.

MR. WALKER: Bruce Bennett.

MR. BENNETT: (Speaking from audience.) no comments.

MR. WALKER: Thank you.

I can't read that. Is it Dent Morton?

MR. MORTON: It's Dent Morton.

MR. WALKER: No comments.

Mr. Donley.

2.5

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DONLEY: I'm back up?

MR. WALKER: You're back up. Mr. Donley, I

know you've brought some visuals here, but I'm going to

ask that you make comments and that we not retry the case

today in front of the board.

MR. DONLEY: I will not be retrying the case,

Mr. Chairman. These boards are directly on the issue

MR. DONLEY: I will not be retrying the case, Mr. Chairman. These boards are directly on the issue that's before you this morning on the staff's recommendation, if I may.

MR. WALKER: Okay.

MR. DONLEY: May I proceed?

MR. WALKER: Go ahead.

MR. DONLEY: Mr. Chairman, members of the board. My name is Billy Donley, I'm an attorney with Baker & Hostetler, and I represent Volkswagen and its division Audi in this case.

This board, in September of last year, decided it doesn't have jurisdiction. That order stands, you still don't have jurisdiction, you have no ability to send this case back down to SOAH.

Let me tell you the other reason why, though, you should not be sending this case back down to SOAH.

You heard staff this morning tell you that you do not have the ability to accept this document that is at issue into evidence, and so staff has suggested that you send it back

to SOAH. If I may, Mr. Chairman, I've got a board here and I'd like to show you the rules of procedure that actually apply to SOAH. What I have here is Rule 155.153, Powers and duties of SOAH regarding judges, the administrative law judges. This rule says at (a) Judges authorities and duties. The judge, being the administrative law judge in a case such as this one, shall have the authority and duty under (4) to reopen the record -- which they're going to be asked to do here -- when justice requires but only if the judges have not issued a dismissal, proposal for decision or final decision.

In this case the proposal for decision was issued on July 16, 2014. With that proposal for decision having been issued and this rule being in effect on the judges in this case, if this matter was sent back to them, they cannot let this document into evidence, they can't reopen the record under this rule. So what the board would be asking SOAH to do and the administrative law judges to do is to violate that rule. The board can't get this document into evidence.

Why is that? We've already had a trial, it was nine days long, we had hundreds of exhibits and a whole bunch of witnesses. The parties had a chance to put into

evidence everything they thought that was important, and we did so. We fought this case tooth and nail on both sides, you can bet. Each side had plenty of lawyers and had the ability to attack each issue they wanted to. If the parties wanted to go back and offer additional evidence, they had to get the record open under Rule 155.153 and they had to do it before a proposal for decision was issued. Staff didn't mention that rule this morning. There's no way around it

other thing I want to mention with regard to rules and statutes. 2001.058 is what governs this board at this point, once a proposal for decision comes over here. That discussion was held on September 12, too, between Mr. Duncan and Member Rodriguez. They talked about 2001.058 on the Nissan case that was heard just before this case, and it lays our four reasons then why -- or what the board can do with this case. It does not include sending this back to SOAH at this point. Why? It's part of this same rule that I've already put in front of the board. SOAH cannot reopen the record so there's nothing in .058 that allows the board to send it back to SOAH.

Let me give one other reason, though, a little more practical, on why there's no reason to send this back to SOAH, and I don't believe you've heard this before

either.

2.5

MR. WALKER: Mr. Donley, your time is up. I'm going to allow you to finish this right here, but make it brief, if you can.

MR. DONLEY: Very good. I will.

During the course of the hearing on the merits before the administrative law judges, I asked Mr. Weitz whether or not he had complied with 2301.359(c)(3), had you sent the letter that was required to Audi with regard to the application that was at issue. Here's the question and the answer, it's on page 1899 of the transcript.

My question to Mr. Weitz: Do you recall that during the course of the application process up until the turndown on April 16, 2013 -- and that's the turndown of the application at issue -- that you never and no one on your behalf ever provided Audi with a statement that you or any of your entities would indeed comply with the Audi dealer agreement to the extent it was not in conflict with Texas law?

That comes out of 2310.359(c)(3).

MR. WALKER: Okay, Mr. Donley.

Answer: No, did not indicate that.

MR. WALKER: Excuse me.

MR. DONLEY: Yes, sir.

MR. WALKER: I've kind of explained to you, Mr.

Donley, that we're not going to retry the case here today.

MR. DONLEY: Yes, sir.

MR. WALKER: That is not the responsibility of this board to hear cases. It is the responsibility of SOAH to hear cases and to determine whether they apply to the law. I have spent a lot of time reading your case from front to back, and I will agree with you that it is a very complicated case, it's backwards and forwards, there's all kinds of limited partes, trusts, and I see everything you're talking about. So why don't you conclude because I'm not going to go into this kind of stuff right now with you because I think I would have to entitle the other people here to get back up and argue their side of the question on this also.

So why don't you finish up in about 15 seconds and then we're going to take and make a decision on what we're going to do here.

MR. DONLEY: Again, to be clear, these are my comments on the request by the staff this morning which we disagree with, sending it back down.

I'll give you one other, 2301.709, the board can't consider things that are not timely submitted.

The long and short of it is, members of the board, justice delayed is justice denied. That applies to Audi too. People can't act like the manufacturers don't

matter up here, we do, and in this case what the board ought to do is to reject the staff's comment, leave this case as it is, there's no jurisdiction. You ought to also add to your order, however, that they failed to meet the statutory requirements, as Mr. Duncan stated this morning, dismiss this case, and let us proceed on from there.

Thank you very much.

MR. WALKER: Thank you, Mr. Donley.

So I guess I have a question to Mr. Duncan, and that is what is your advice to this board. Do we have the authority, based on the statutory -- the SOAH statute there, do we have the authority to send this back based on new evidence or evidence that was not in the file to go back and have SOAH to reevaluate that new evidence?

MR. DUNCAN: Absolutely.

MR. WALKER: I don't know that it is new evidence. It is evidence that was not in the file or was inadvertently missed in the file.

MR. DUNCAN: Correct. You have the absolute power to do this and to ask SOAH to reconsider. Should they refuse, we might have an issue, but I doubt very seriously they will. The rule -- not statute -- the rule that he refers to is a SOAH rule, it's a SOAH rule governing SOAH actions. That says a judge can reopen the record when the judge wants to. The judge is not

1 reopening the record. You're sending it back to the judge 2 as the board that has the statutory authority over these 3 types of licenses. You're sending it back and saying we'd 4 like you to clarify certain items from the PFD. 5 I've been doing this kind of practice for years 6 and years and years, boards send things back to SOAH, they 7 do, for additional clarification, for additional adjudication by the SOAH judge. 8 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And that's the recommendation that's been made, we have a motion and a second, Mr. 10 Chairman. I ask for the exhibits to be removed and ask 11 for the question, Mr. Chairman. 12 13 MR. WALKER: I don't know that the exhibits are 14 a party to this, are they? I thought you meant removed from the record. 15 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. 17 MR. WALKER: I'm sorry. So we have a motion and we have a second. Do 18 19 we have any further comments from the board? 20 (No response.) MR. WALKER: All in favor of the recommended 21 22 proposal to send it back to SOAH, signify by saying aye. 23 (A chorus of ayes.) 24 MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign. 2.5 (No response.)

MR. WALKER: The motion carries.

And Mr. Duncan, I would respectfully ask that when you send this back to SOAH that you ask them to expedite this. And let the record reflect that I, as the chairman, have asked that SOAH move this to the front of their line and that we would like to get this thing resolved in an expeditious form. We understand that all these people have businesses to operate and they need resolve to this so that they can either go forward and find a new purchaser, whether they can continue to make the sale transition work, whatever it's going to be that's determined on this thing, but it needs to be resolved, it needs to be moved forward so that people can continue to sell cars and to run their businesses as they should.

MR. DUNCAN: Absolutely, Mr. Chairman. We'll make that point in the order and in every other way that we can.

MR. WALKER: Thank you very much. And should you find that there's a delay, let me know and I will see if I have any power in my authority to progress this at a faster rate.

MR. DUNCAN: Yes, sir.

MR. WALKER: Okay. There are some other people in the room I know that you have some comments with respect to some other items on here, and we will take

1 those later on as we get to those particular items. 2 I'm going to take financial reports next. 3 Flores, are you hiding behind that post over there for a 4 reason? 5 MS. FLORES: No, sir. 6 MR. WALKER: You know, I moved you to the front 7 of the agenda because I want to give you plenty of ample time, since we are in a legislative process and it is 8 9 important that we get the finances organized and 10 understood of the agency. So I want people to give full 11 attention to you so I moved you to the front while we're still clear-minded. 12 13 MS. FLORES: So that does that mean you're 14 going to turn on the timer for me? 15 (General laughter.) 16 MR. WALKER: No, no, no. You are not limited 17 by time, but I would like to finish by noon today. 18 MS. FLORES: For the record, my name is Linda Flores. I'm the chief financial officer for the Texas 19 20 Department of Motor Vehicles. And with me today is Sergio 21 Rey, he's the accounting director, and we're here to 22 present the agency's annual financial reports that were

These financial statements are prepared in

submitted, as required, on November 20 to the State

23

24

2.5

Comptroller's Office.

accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards, and they're submitted to the Comptroller. There is no action required from the board. This is merely a briefing on the changes that we identified in our financial statements.

MR. WALKER: Excuse me, Ms. Flores. Can I get somebody to move the TVs up here so the board can see them, please?

(Pause to adjust TVs.)

MR. WALKER: Thank you very much.

MS. FLORES: The actual methods do begin on page 49 of your briefing documents -- I'm sorry, 48. And with that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Rey.

MR. REY: Chairman Walker, Ms. Brewster, board members, good morning. My name is Sergio Rey, for the record, accounting director.

This morning I will be providing the annual financial report for year ended August 31, 2014, which was submitted to our oversight agencies, like Ms. Flores said, on November 20. The presentation before you provides prior year information to serve as a point of reference on this fiscal year's highlights.

So again, on page 48 you'll find the statement of net assets which is the governmental accounting balance sheet. In this section, the TxDMV increased its numbers by \$28 million. Our legislative appropriation increased

by \$16.7 million because of the changes in our method of finance, because of House Bill 2202 where technically the agency was appropriated more funds from general revenue Fund 1. In prior years the operations funded by Fund 1 were only for ABTPA, so in this 2014, more operations were funded by Fund 1.

2.5

Also, while we continue to improve our reporting of revenue from our asset accounts, there was an increase in our accounts receivable as a result of timing, the collection of receipts from the tax assessor-collectors and the regional service centers, so at the end of the fiscal year, since that date ended or fell on an extended holiday weekend of Labor Day, deposits for those final days did not hit the books until after September 1. In our non-current assets, we had a growth of almost \$5 million because of increased computer software inventory purchases, much of which was related to our RTS refactoring project. That's our statement of net assets, that's the asset section of our balance sheet.

Now on the next page we have the liabilities section where we have increases of about \$2 million in our accounts payable. This increase is technically items that were reported on our books as material expenses that were posted in September.

Our fund balance, which is the unspent of the

no-spendable balances, grew by \$26 million. That, too, is a result of the higher appropriation from Fund 1 and the increases in inventory.

On our next slide and on page 50 you will find the governmental accounting version of the income statement, or as referred, the statement of revenue, expenditures and changes in fund balance. Again, this will highlight the significant changes as compared to 2013.

For revenues, again, we see the impact of House Bill 2202, the additional revenues Fund 1 appropriations, but also the impact of the amount of reportable fee revenue. With several fees now deposited to Fund 1, those revenues are reported by the Comptroller in their financial reports, so rather than reflecting the almost \$1.7 billion in revenue, TxDMV is only responsible for reporting the almost \$1.5 billion in licenses, fees and permits.

On the expenditures side the agency continues to see increases in professional fees, computer equipment and software inventory and maintenance costs, again, associated with the RTS refactoring project. And in 2014 there were also increases for ABTPA grant expenditures due to increased submittals from the grantees and improved internal processing of grant payment requests prior to the

end of the fiscal year.

2.5

A final note on the income statement, this year there was a \$4 million increase to our Fund 6 revenue transfer to the Texas Department of Transportation. The final payout to TxDOT was just over \$1.4 billion.

These are the highlights of the principal exhibits of the annual financial report. The remaining schedules in your packet and the notes provided support information to the figures in those exhibits. As mentioned earlier, the AFR was completed in accordance with comptroller guidelines and the reporting requirements in compliance with Governmental Accounting Principles.

Our financial statements are incorporated in the State's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report produced by the State Comptroller's Office.

This concludes my presentation. I'm available for questions if you have any.

MR. SLOVACEK: Not a think.

MR. WALKER: Do we have any questions, comments or anybody want to take any particular issues up with the staff on this? Raymond?

MR. PALACIOS: No. I'm fine.

MR. WALKER: I don't think that that is an action item, it doesn't require a vote, just a report.

Thank you, Daniel and Linda. Linda, do you

have more, or does this conclude your total report? I'm sorry, Sergio. Daniel was before you.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think she's going on to 4.A.1.b, Mr. Chairman.

MR. WALKER: Linda, let's move on to 4.A.1.b.

MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.

With me today is Renita Bankhead. She's the assistant CFO for the agency. We are reporting on the first quarter financial status as of November 30, 2014.

As Mr. Rey mentioned, House Bill 2202 significantly impacted the agency's method of financing. This is going to be reflected, as you will see here shortly. In addition, House Bill 2202 has provided more clarity on our revenues that we're actually collecting and depositing into general revenue. What Mr. Rey did not tell you was that last year we actually deposited into general revenue \$121 million. That's in addition to the amounts that we collected for Fund 6.

We continue to see that growth here in the first quarter. Our revenues are still showing an increase over the same period last year, approximately \$23.4 million. Again, as we reported in the past, these are due to increased numbers in permits, titles, registered vehicles, all of those things contribute to our revenue growth.

1 On the expenditure side, we've also implemented 2 a new financial system September 1, and with that, we've 3 identified some opportunities for us to better report 4 information to the board. 5 And with that, I'll turn it over to Ms. 6 Bankhead. 7 MS. BANKHEAD: Chairman Walker, Ms. Brewster, members of the board. For the record, I'm Renita Bankhead 8 9 of your staff. 10 Beginning on page 81 of your materials is a 11 summary of expenditures as of November 30, 2014, which you can see here on the slide. 12 13 MR. WALKER: Renita, before you go and move to 14 that point, can I ask a question real quick, because I was 15 a little confused last night when I was reading this and 16 we just went past it. I think this is the first time, 17 maybe it's not, it's my recollection of the first time 18 I've seen this. All of these different accounts, Linda, 19 that we have over here, it says fund number 803, 804, 805, 20 can you explain all these different fund numbers to me? MS. FLORES: Are you referring to the annual 21 22 financial report? 23 MR. WALKER: All these pages of different

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. FLORES: That is actually included in the

24

2.5

dollar amounts.

1 annual financial report, and that's where the DMV collects 2 money on behalf of other agencies or it has to do with 3 specialty plates. So all of those numbers, when it says 4 agency 8-0-something, 603, 392, those all represent a 5 state agency. So we collect money on their behalf. They 6 issued a specialty plate for a charitable purpose and so 7 we collect the money and a the end of the year we're acting as a fiduciary, we pass those dollars on to the 8 9 other state agencies. 10 MR. WALKER: So if I were to ask you what 11 agency 537, D23, 803 is? MS. FLORES: We do have a list of names 12 13 associated with each one. 14 MR. WALKER: Is it appropriate or not appropriate to put the names on there, or is that a code? 15 16 MS. FLORES: That's a code, and for the board's 17 purpose we've identified what those are on page 41 for you 18

MS. FLORES: That's a code, and for the board's purpose we've identified what those are on page 41 for you in your briefing books. When we submit our financial statements, the Comptroller does not really care for the names, what they're looking for is that agency code. But for your information, we have provided that for you.

MR. WALKER: Page 41?

MS. FLORES: Yes, sir, of your briefing document.

MR. WALKER: Okay.

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. FLORES: So you will see the Office of the Governor is agency 300, Attorney General 302, General Land Office 305, Texas Workforce Commission 320, Department of Housing and Community Affairs 332.

MR. WALKER: Okay. You don't need to go through all of them, Linda. I was just curious that those different accounts were.

MS. FLORES: They all have a special code.

MR. WALKER: Renita, you can continue.

MS. BANKHEAD: Thank you.

As Linda stated, we have implemented the new accounting system, CAPPS, and as you can see on the slide, we have significantly more expenditure categories than we did before, and what we did was we took the other operating expense categories and we provided more detail so you could see specifically where our expenditures are for the license plates, that's under purchased contract services. Or where we mail the plates and other materials under postage, where we print our registration and titling materials, that is under reproduction and printing. We felt like this would give not only the board but our internal staff a lot more detail so that we could identify those expenditures quickly.

The year-to-date expenditures through November total almost \$25 million compared to \$21 million at the

same time last year.

Another process improvement that we've included on these reports is the ability to encumber funds. That's one thing that CAPPS allows us to do that we weren't really able to do before, and for us to show them to the board. To encumber we are committing funds for business services all at one time so that money is set aside so we don't try to spend it on other things.

The major encumbrances here are for the same big ticket things that you would expect: expenditures for the RTS system; under professional fees, most of that, \$21 million is encumbered; for RTS about \$15 million; the rest of it is data center consolidation for IT contractors; and the rest of the money that we have to pay the Comptroller for CAPPS.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Ms. Bankhead, can you encumber past a fiscal period or not?

MS. BANKHEAD: No, we cannot encumber past a fiscal period.

At this point in the year about 48 percent of our budget has either been expended or encumbered, committed. So were tracking our expenses. One of the other things that CAPPS allows us to do is to be able to control our budget by if you try to process a transaction and there's no budget, the system stops it. So I think it

gives us a lot more control over how much we budget and how much we spend.

On page 83 of your packet is the next slide, and that is the capital projects, and as with the expenditure page on the front page most of the expenditures are related to the automation project. And based on the current version of House Bill 1 and Senate Bill 2 -- which Linda is going to talk about in a minute -- any unspent balances in the automation project will be carried forward to 2016. That's just in our current version of those bills.

The line item for data center consolidation, something we talked about quite a bit last year, the budget and encumbrances only reflect what's actually -- we've only encumbered up to what we have budgeted. We know we're going to have additional expenditures for that. As of yesterday, we anticipate that we're going to be about \$1.7 million in the hole for that with our payments to DIR, and this does not include the \$1.5 million that we have to pay TxDOT for DCS services as well.

So we are working to identify balances in our budget to be able to pay for those things, just like we did last year. When we come to you again for the second quarter report, we will have completed our midyear review and we will show how we are planning to address that

specific deficit and how we are going to pay for any other unanticipated costs.

And that completes my presentation. If there are any questions?

MR. PALACIOS: Ms. Bankhead, have we completed the transition of data and applications from the previous software over to the CAPPS system?

MS. BANKHEAD: We really didn't transfer any of our previous years' expenses, we just started fresh on September 1. All of our previous expenditures and those types of things are still in USAS, the statewide accounting system.

MR. PALACIOS: And then just briefly, how is it working out so far, CAPPS?

MS. BANKHEAD: CAPPS is working out for us. We are still learning how it works. We completed our training to the external staff in December. We are working with the Comptroller to improve the reports that are available to you and to our internal staff, so we're still working and learning how the system works. We were testing some of the new purchasing modules this past month, and we've completed that. So it is working out. We still have some things that we're still trying to --some issues we're still trying to iron out, but it's working for us. We are able to pay our bills, we are able

to track our expenditures, we are able to collect our revenues and report on our revenues, and those things were very critical to us and we are able to do those things.

2.5

MS. FLORES: That was the major decision-making point for me for this agency was that the interfaces on our revenue collection had to work because we collect \$100 million a month, so if that was not working properly, my decision would have been no go September 1. But the Comptroller worked it out with us, they ensured that the interfaces were working properly between RTS and Treasury, and CAPPS, and so that was the defining moment when we were seeing those revenues.

MR. PALACIOS: Thank you.

MR. WALKER: Do you want to continue? Are you finished with all your stuff?

MS. FLORES: We've concluded our presentation on the first quarter, and now we're moving on to the legislative update for House Bill 1.

I just wanted to give the board a real brief reminder of the Texas legislative process. As you recall, last August we were submitting the agency's appropriations request, as required by the Legislative Budget Board.

During the summer we brought our exceptional items list to the board at that time and incorporated the approved documents into our submission. Public hearings were held

with staff from both Governor's Office, the Legislative Budget Board and some other oversight entities. We laid out our budget and explained what was in the details.

Budget Board staff worked with their board, whose composed of also the oversight entities, the Governor's Office, Lieutenant Governor, to come up with a recommendation for the Appropriations Act. That is either House Bill 1 or Senate Bill 1, they take turns. This session it's House Bill 1.

That was submitted and produced on January 15.

House Bill 1 was posted on the Legislative Budget Board's website as introduced. They then took the Senate's version and posted that on January 27. Sometimes those two bills are different. For this agency it was the same bill pattern, and when I talk about bill pattern, I'm talking about appropriations.

So once it was posted, the agency had an opportunity to see what was in that recommendation. It was not what we had requested. They did approve a couple of exceptional items -- I'll go into a little bit more detail -- but at that point we met with our legislative budget analyst, Thomas Galvan, on January 28 where he came to explain the rationale behind our recommendation for our agency's appropriations.

At that time he did request that we reevaluate our exceptional items request as we had submitted it.

Only two had been approved, it was the agency's turn to review the remainder items on that legislative appropriations request list to determine whether or not we wished to proceed or revise. And once we submitted that to them, to the Legislative Budget Board, they will then take that document and use that as talking points when the agency's appropriations request is being laid out in front of committees.

The committee hearings will start here next week. They will go on till the end of May where different appropriations committees will meet, break up into work group sessions and delve into the details of the agency's request. This is the reason why we've prepared a revised exceptional items request. They did approve the additional request that we had for license plate production, as well as they helped fund some of the data center consolidation request, about half. We had asked for nine, they gave us about four and a half of that initial request. We are still going to proceed to ask them for the rest of that exceptional item request.

This gives you an understanding of what the process is, some of the time constraints, compressions of time, if you will, and we were not able to bring back that

exceptional items request list to you in time to meet the Legislative Budget Board's deadline. We do have that before you today and we'll go into that shortly.

MR. WALKER: We're going to look at the revised exception report?

MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.

2.5

Just for your information, House Bill 1 is included in your briefing books starting on page 85.

One of the major things that House Bill 1 did was change the agency's method of financing. Before we were primarily Fund 6, now we're general revenue, so that is a major shift in how this agency's appropriations are set.

As I mentioned, they approved the exceptional item for license plates, as well as some of the data center. They eliminated from the base request the CVISN grant. We originally had \$1.7 million in our base request; they eliminated that totally, both state and federal match. They also reduced the base request for automation funding from \$23 million down to \$16-. They reduced our capital regional office remodeling that we had left in there. We had \$141,000 set aside for another couple of remodels in the regions. We recently accomplished Wichita Falls and Austin this past December.

They reduced the amounts that we had in there

for additional CAPPS reports, as well as human resources reports. They reduced our computer replacements by \$50,000 a year. And they added a contingency rider that addresses the agency's method of financing if the DMV Fund is recreated in this legislative session.

MR. WALKER: Can you explain that to me?

MS. FLORES: Yes, sir. So House Bill 2202

2.5

MS. FLORES: Yes, sir. So House Bill 2202 actually created the DMV Fund that we had sought to have created. House Bill 6 at the end, which it's a routine bill that's done every session --

MR. WALKER: I understand all that. Go back and explain what this means right here, this particular rider, how it affects us going forward.

MS. FLORES: So moving forward we are going to pursue the creation of the DMV Fund during this legislative session. If it comes to pass and it's approved, then the agency's method of financing will shift from general revenue to the DMV Fund. That DMV Fund also has the ability to include the process and handling fee that the board is authorized to create for the agency.

MR. WALKER: But I guess the question I'm asking -- I mean, everything you're saying I understand -- but they're adding a contingency rider. What is the rider?

MS. FLORES: A contingency rider is contingent

1	on a proposed bill passing.
2	MR. WALKER: But what does the rider say?
3	MS. FLORES: The rider says if the bill passes
4	then the DMV Fund is created, the agency will be financed
5	with the DMV Fund.
6	MR. WALKER: What would the relevance of that
7	need to be back to us? I mean, why would they need that?
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We've been asking for this.
9	Right?
10	MS. FLORES: We've been asking for the DMV Fund
11	so that we can be self-sufficient and self-supported.
12	MR. WALKER: I understand all that. I'm just
13	trying to say what is the relevance of the Legislative
14	Budget Board putting that particular note into our report.
15	MS. FLORES: This is their acknowledgment that
16	the DMV Fund is a probability.
17	MR. WALKER: Okay. So it's just saying that
18	the fund could be relevant, and if so, this is how it will
19	be addressed going forward.
20	MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.
21	MR. WALKER: Okay. Thank you.
22	MR. PALACIOS: Ms. Flores, I have a question
23	about that DMV Fund. The timing with, I guess, the
24	legislation to pass the TxDMV Fund and the new rules that
25	will be written at some point regarding the process and

handling fee, so obviously one has to happen before the other, because obviously we can't have a change in the TxDMV Fund unless there is a new method to fund it.

MS. FLORES: Correct.

MR. PALACIOS: So I guess what do you see as the timing of all of this, because obviously we'd have to get rules in place before we can even address legislation.

MS. FLORES: The agency internal staff, we're working on scenarios, various scenarios as to if a process and handling fee is authorized, when would it go into effect, when would we have sufficient information to put in front of the board. Obviously, if we were to have a process and handling fee by September, for example, we would have to have something to the board by April in order to meet all of the deadlines for publication in the Texas Register, public comment. So we know at this time that we don't have that kind of information, so we're looking at timeline required to put something in front of you so that you all can make a reasonable decision without hurrying through this, because this is going to take some time for us to develop what is an appropriate process and handling fee.

We have a Texas Transportation Institute study that is wrapping up. That report, the second draft has not come back to the agency. We still need time to digest

that and see what was the cost information provided in that report. So there's still a lot of logistics that we have to pass before we can put something in front of the board for your review.

2.5

I'm doing cash flow analysis. You know, we were up to 13 scenarios and we've knocked it back down to two that are most reasonable because I have lots of moving parts. If the fee hits effective this date but I don't start cash collection until a month later, I need money for bills, to make payroll, I need money for the first three-five months of this agency's operations. So we're having to work through all of those things and we're just not there yet.

MR. PALACIOS: I understand.

MR. WALKER: Are there more? Those are the recommendations, all of them?

MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.

MR. WALKER: So what is the overall effect of the recommendations and reduction in the budget expenditures?

MS. FLORES: Well, the original list of exceptional items was \$90 million, our revised request is \$87 million. We modified, we took off the license plates, we're asking for restoration of the automation dollars so that we can take on a new initiative for separating

servers and applications from TxDOT. We feel like we have sufficient justification to request those dollars back.

2.5

The others that are in front of you were there to begin with. The data center consolidation, since they only funded half, I want the other half. I think this agency needs to have — that's a need, it's not a want. What we did when we looked back at our exceptional items, we reviewed these requests from what do we really, really need, not what do we want, so the list in front of you is that list. We eliminated the FTEs for finance and for internal audit. We feel like we can work within our cap, our staffing caps to make that happen.

There was development of IT infrastructure. We call that cyber security. That has a more descriptive name to it for what it really is. We've also changed the name for the field service representatives in VTR so that when the legislators look at that, they'll know right off the top what this is referring to.

We feel like this list will help this agency continue to move forward with not only finishing up the RTS refactoring but taking a major step in separating from TxDOT's infrastructure on the IT side. With that, we will really be a stand-alone agency and if we were lucky enough to get a building someway, somehow, we would truly be the DMV.

1	And what we're asking from the board at this
2	time is your support of the agency's revised exceptional
3	items request.
4	MR. WALKER: Mr. Palacios?
5	MR. PALACIOS: Yes. I move that we accept
6	staff's recommendation for the revised exceptional items
7	request.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second, Mr. Chairman.
9	MR. WALKER: So we have a motion from Mr.
10	Palacios to accept the revised exception request of the
11	appropriations request, we have a second by Mr. Rodriguez.
12	Do we have any comments, questions?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. WALKER: All in favor of the revised list,
15	signify by saying aye.
16	(A chorus of ayes.)
17	MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign.
18	(No response.)
19	MR. WALKER: Motion carries unanimously. Thank
20	you.
21	We're going to skip over here to item number
22	6.D, Bryan 4.D. I'm sorry. Bryan.
23	Mr. Garcia, thank you very much for being here
24	today.
25	MR. GARCIA: Mr. Chairman, board members, thank

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

you for having me here and the opportunity just to sit with Mr. Wilson and give you some updates on the activities.

MR. WALKER: Would you like to tell the people in the room who you are in case they don't really know?

MR. GARCIA: Yes, sir, I sure would. My name is Carlos Garcia, the chief of police for the Port of Brownsville, former police chief for the City of Brownsville three years ago, presiding officer/chairman of the board for ABTPA. So thank you for having me here, and while I'm at it, Mr. Chairman, I know it's a long meeting, but I think it's only fair that at this point that I thank DMV staff, executive director, assistant executive director, the board and everybody else for stepping up to the plate and supporting ABTPA, whereas, before we felt that we didn't have the support from TxDOT. Before that we were a stand-alone department of projects. But we've been given great support by Ms. Brewster and her staff and so I appreciate that from ABTPA.

MR. WALKER: Thank you very much. We appreciate that.

For those of you that don't know -- and I'll probably step all over my tail for making this comment -- the ABTPA Board is a separate board that kind of is under this board but they really operate independent themselves

but they're under our agency, and Carlos is the chairman of that board.

2.5

So we appreciate all that your board does in coming up with the grants that need to be disbursed out through the state.

MR. GARCIA: Thank you for your support, Mr. Chairman.

MR. WALKER: So Bryan, with that, let's move forward with your report on the Auto Burglary and Theft division.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board,
Ms. Brewster. My name is Bryan Wilson. I'm the director
of the Automobile Burglary and Theft Prevention Authority.
This report is for information only, no action needs to
be taken.

I just wanted to report to you that the staff has been very busy. We finalized the 2014 grants a few weeks ago at the end of November and found out that we had a 99.6 percent utilization rate. I think it's very admirable to these law enforcement agencies, the counties and cities that we support in preventing automobile and burglary -- automobile theft and burglary -- excuse me, I'll get it right in a minute. We're not supporting any kind of theft at all.

(General laughter.)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 MR. WILSON: But anyway, it's real hard to receive a grant and work this kind of program where you spend almost everything without going over because the penalties for managers and administrators is quite high if they overspend their budget. I'm sure you would not expect this agency to do it, cities and counties don't expect it either.

We have a statutory administrative cap that we stayed well within at about 6.9 percent, but almost 4 percent of that money was actually for public awareness or specific items required under the statute, so administratively we're operating extremely lean and to commend the DMV executive agency that supports this agency, but 3.1 percent is pretty low for managing a \$14 million grant, and we appreciate that support.

This year for 2015, the year we're currently in, we have \$13.8 million awarded out to combat motor vehicle theft as well as preventing burglary to 29 different grant programs. We have met our statutory deadline by delivering a plan of operation to the legislative bodies, the Speaker of the House as well as the Lieutenant Governor. We also met the deadlines set by this board for response to an internal audit. So what we did at December 1 was respond to the internal audit, but the actions are being monitored during this year. By

August 31 we should have met all of the requirements identified in the TxDMV internal audit requirements. If we don't we'll tell you what we didn't make, but I'm pretty sure at 8/31 you can find full appreciation of your efforts in overseeing the administrative side.

2.5

So that concludes my report, and if there's any questions for myself or Chief Garcia, we'll be glad to entertain those at this time.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Wilson, I don't know that you know this number or maybe percentage, but we created -- I say we, the State of Texas created back then the Automobile Theft Prevention Authority as a response to very high number of motor vehicle thefts in the state of Texas. I know some of these dealers don't like to hear this but it's been brought down quite a bit so they don't sell as many cars -- I'm just kidding.

(General laughter.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But do you know what that number was back then and what it is today? I know it's a significant drop but I don't know what the numbers are or the percentage.

MR. WILSON: It was 167,000 vehicles when the ATPA was created. We've seen a slight up-tick. It's always been decreasing until the last two years, so in '12 and '13 -- that's the last numbers we have -- it's down to

1 67,000 motor vehicle thefts at this time. We have plans, 2 I've worked with the board to really address that 3 little -- it's just a 2 percent increase but despite the 4 growth in the state, but we're working on plans to address 5 that as well. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we've reduced it about 60 7 percent of what it used to be. 8 MR. WILSON: That's 300 percent, almost 300 9 percent. MR. RODRIGUEZ: It was 160,000 stolen back when 10 we started. Right? 11 12 MR. WILSON: Yes, sir. 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And now it is at 60,000? 14 MR. WILSON: 67,000, somewhere right in there. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good job. 16 MR. WALKER: I understand that the \$13.8 million is probably a pretty rigid number. The grantees 17 18 29, is that a fixed number or is that a variable number? 19 MR. WILSON: Well, it's been fixed for a couple

of years but it's always subject to change. Any police department or any agency, according to the statute -- I won't list them all here -- they're allowed to apply for a grant. They can construct a program, a regional program or an independent city or individual county can apply, and the board has the primary responsibility to decide who

20

21

22

23

24

25

gets the funds. And so it's based partly on who applies and partly on the amount of money that's available to the program. And so each grant application year will be reviewed by the ABTPA Board to make those decisions.

2.5

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But just to be clear, because there may be 29 grantees, those are 29 physically applicants and under those applicants you may have -- under any one given applicant you may have a multitude of agencies that are a part of that one grant.

MR. WILSON: Most of them are regions.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Right. So you don't have 29 benefactors, you have a lot more than 29 benefactors.

MR. WALKER: But there's 29 grants. Right?

MR. WILSON: For this year.

MR. WALKER: But next year it might be 35 grants, or it could be 25.

MR. WILSON: Right. We will be issuing in the next few weeks what's called a request for proposals and any law enforcement agency or any entity that meets the statutory criteria will be able to apply for that grant, and staff and a board liaison will review all of those applications and make a recommendation, and then the board will ultimately decide, the ABTPA Board will decide how those funds are disbursed.

MR. PALACIOS: Mr. Wilson, has there been a

change since you've come onboard and since the audit in the methodology and process for selecting the grantees and monitoring?

MR. WILSON: There will be. 2016, the review process that we'll be going into this spring will be the first year to change the methodology. It's still a grant review and it's still a scoring process. There's definitions to the scores, a little tighter definitions.

MR. PALACIOS: And how are you monitoring the grants?

MR. WILSON: Well, right now coming out of the internal audit, that wasn't really tied to the monitoring process. What we've done -- and I've just been explaining to the chief today -- is we'll have a three-tier monitoring process. The first one is what's called the comprehensive annual financial review that all cities and counties that have more than \$500,000 in grants have to go through. Our first line will be reviewing all of those that meet the criteria, in other words, the larger jurisdictions that have that. We'll assign risks to that.

The next level is monitoring each individual grant payment, what we call desk reviews, making sure that whatever they claim on their expenditure report is legitimate and legal expenses. We've seen a few items where we've denied the expenses for certain types of

receipts that don't meet the requirements of our statute or our program. And then the last one is we'll do site visits, both programmatic and fiscal.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Bryan, at the end of the day, the deciding body as to who gets those grants is the board that Mr. Garcia chairs, not us. Right?

MR. WILSON: That is correct. The Automobile Burglary and Theft Prevention has exclusive authority and appointed by the Governor to make that selection.

MR. GARCIA: Mr. Chairman and board members, just to make it clear, I think our questions in regard to how the money has been distributed in the past. I will assure you as the chairman, as a board member, as a law enforcement officer that the funding has been disbursed to those areas that have a need with regards to auto thefts. This program was created back in 1991, we first started in 1993, so we've been around many, many years. Mr. Victor Rodriguez, if you didn't know, was the first chair of this board back then.

So we've come a long way and I think the numbers have pretty much justified our existence throughout the years. Definitely we've lost partnerships, we've lost grantees because of funding. At one point I remember two sessions ago we were zeroed out by the Legislative Budget Board, so we had to scramble to get

back just to be alive again. Now we're at a point that we're part of the process, we've been included in part of the process, thanks to DMV staff, and hopefully, with exceptional item funding that we have requested that we'll not only be able to expand coverage areas but hopefully make some of these task forces that currently exist with very few personnel expand their task forces also.

MR. WILSON: And I would also add to that that there is a little over \$44 million collected for the Automobile Burglary and Theft Prevention Authority under policies issued in the State of Texas, and currently only \$14.9- is provided to DMV to support this effort. So we get a lot of calls about people saying I want my \$2 back. It's \$2 on every policy and we get about 53 cents of that.

MR. WALKER: Just remember that I know that you make the grants and we don't want to get into that realm of reality here at the DMV, but we do have a fiscal responsibility of oversight of your particular division and there were some recommendations made by our internal auditors to look at the program to make sure that the funds are being appropriately distributed and that there's checks and balances out there. I think going forward that I would suggest on your program that maybe you get back with our internal auditors to vet your proposals to them

1 to make sure that they're going pass muster going forward. 2 MR. GARCIA: Mr. Chairman, I can assure you as 3 the chairman of the ABTPA Board we are committed to do 4 that and we're committed to ensure that every audit finding has a resolution and we have resolved that by the 5 6 timeline that was given to us. 7 Thank you very much, Chief Garcia. MR. WALKER: 8 MR. GARCIA: Thank you. 9 MR. WALKER: Thank you, Bryan. 10 Victor, are you wanting me to jump to item 6? MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. Let's get the action 11 items done, if you don't mind. 12 13 MR. WALKER: I can skip down to those if you'd 14 like. Let's go to item number 6.A. Mr. Duncan. 15 MR. DUNCAN: Mr. Chairman and members, David 16 Duncan again, general counsel. 17 The two items in A I'll take in succession. 18 These were both driven by the rules review that we 19 mentioned to the board last year and we've been going 20 through these rules, actually these two and my next one in These are the final adoption, however, of rule review 21 22 and amendments. 23 I'll start with 217. The biggest thing we did 24 in this chapter was a restructuring and renumbering of

this chapter because there were two sections, 217.3 which

25

is Motor Vehicle Titles and 217.22 which is Motor Vehicle Registration that were dozens of pages long, they were huge rules, and it made it very difficult for people to find what they were looking for, the numbering system was very cumbersome. So we've broken those two sections into 24 new sections which should enable us to do two things: one, it allows people to find what they're looking for more easily, and two, it allows us to change those rules with a little more precision instead of you're always in 217.3 or 217.22

Other restructuring includes moving the sections governing title liens into their own subchapter which is going to be Subchapter E. The current Subchapter E, Salvage Vehicle Dealers, we're moving to the end of the chapter in Subchapter I, and the reason for that is we're expecting that there might be some legislation in this upcoming session that will probably give them their own chapter at some point, so instead of pulling them out of the middle, lop them off at the end of 217.

While this all looks like a huge revision of this chapter, in fact, it's pretty much totally nonsubstantive. The only substantive changes we made really were regarding the single sticker fixes that were needed in the chapter for commercial fleet vehicles, and then deleting some language that was already in statute.

1 It's all pretty much cleanup. 2 The Dallas County Tax Assessor-Collector's 3 Office did submit a comment requesting that we define 4 involved party in the definition of statement in fact in 5 the new Section 217.2. In response to that comment, we've 6 added a clarification to the rule that involved party is 7 the seller or an agent of the seller involved in a motor 8 vehicle transaction. And the Dallas County TAC, who 9 happens to be here, has told me that that would address their concerns. 10 11 I'm available for any questions on this if you 12 have any. 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Move to adopt, Mr. Chairman. 14 MR. WALKER: We need to have a motion to accept 15 the changes. 16 MR. DUNCAN: It's part of this. 17 MR. WALKER: You want to finish the rest? 18 MR. DUNCAN: I'm just saying that's in the 19 adoption package that he's recommended a motion. 20 MR. PALACIOS: Second. 21 MR. WALKER: So we have a motion by Mr. 22 Rodriguez and a second by Mr. Palacios. Any questions, 23 comments? 24 (No response.)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. WALKER: All in favor signify by saying

2.5

aye.

2 (A chorus of ayes.)

MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign.

(No response.)

MR. WALKER: The motion carries unanimously.

Let's move on to the next one, Mr. Duncan.

MR. DUNCAN: Chapter 218, again, rule review and cleanup. We deleted language already in statute and unnecessary language and made it consistent with current department rules and practices. There were rules added regarding financial responsibility for motor carries operating foreign commercial motor vehicles in Texas, authorizing motor carriers to display their insurance cab card information on a wireless device, document and clarifying current procedures regarding non-expiring certificates of registration for motor carriers that qualify for that, clarifying the procedures for self-insurance versus filing evidence with the department, and significantly revised the definition of "knowingly" for the purposes of determining an administrative penalty under 218.

That particular change on "knowingly' and one other were commented on by the Texas Trucking Association and the Southwest Movers Association. They commented that they would request that we remove the proposed language I

281.52 that requires both a certificate from us and a US DOT registration number on print advertisements by movers, and that either one of those numbers is adequate to identify the motor carrier. And regarding the knowingly, they didn't think it was necessary to add that, they didn't think it was appropriate for an administrative enforcement case.

2.5

In response to their comments, we removed the language that required the US DOT number on print advertisements and website because we agree with them that the DMV registration number is sufficient identification. And we modified the proposed definition of the word "knowingly." And my understanding is that the incomparable Mr. Harbeson has consulted with TXTA and the Movers and they're fine with these changes.

And with that, we would recommend adoption of the rule with those changes.

MR. BARNWELL: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RUSH: Second.

MR. WALKER: So we have a motion from Mr. Barnwell to approve the adopted changes to Chapter 218, and we have a second by Mr. Rush. Any questions about these?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just one question. You say you modified the definition of "knowingly." How did you fix

that?

MR. DUNCAN: I apologize, it's a long chapter and I didn't bring the whole thing with me. We just worked out a definition with TXTA. It now states that "knowingly" means: Actual awareness of an act or practice, that is the alleged violation, or acting with deliberate ignorance of or reckless disregard for the violation involved. Actual awareness may be inferred from the conduct of the alleged violator or from a history of previous violations by the alleged violator.

MR. WALKER: Pretty subjective.

MR. DUNCAN: As are many violations.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I'm good, Mr. Chairman.

MR. WALKER: All in favor of the modifications to Chapter 218 signify by saying aye.

(A chorus of ayes.)

MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign.

(No response.)

MR. WALKER: Motion carries unanimously that we adopt the changes to 218.

Let's go to item 6.B, proposed rules under Title 43, Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 206, Management. Mr. Duncan.

MR. DUNCAN: In this package we proposed publication for comment on the rule review and minor

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	amendments to Chapter 206. This is, again, a rule review;
2	we're going through and cleaning up the rule. The title
3	of this chapter is Management. One of the things it
4	governs is the board meetings and practices, and a lot of
5	that language is being deleted as it's repetitive of
6	statute. We're not making any substantive changes, it's
7	all just cleanup. We're pulling out language that's
8	unnecessary, things that repeat statute. And we would
9	recommend publication for proposal.
10	MR. BARNWELL: So moved, Mr. Chairman.
11	MR. RUSH: Second.
12	MR. WALKER: We have a motion by Mr. Barnwell
13	to accept the changes to Chapter 206 under Management. I
14	have a second by, I'm sorry, I didn't hear.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's a move to post, Mr.
16	Chairman.
17	MR. WALKER: Oh, these are postings. I'm
18	sorry.
19	MR. DUNCAN: Proposal.
20	MR. WALKER: So we have a motion to post
21	Chapter 206, Management by Mr. Barnwell. Who seconded
22	that?
23	MR. RUSH: I did.
24	MR. WALKER: Mr. Rush seconded that. Any
25	questions?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 (No response.) 2 MR. WALKER: All in favor signify by saying 3 aye. 4 (A chorus of ayes.) 5 MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign. 6 (No response.) 7 MR. WALKER: Motion carries unanimously to post the rules under Chapter 206. 8 9 Chapter 217 posting. MR. KUNTZ: For the record, Jeremiah Kuntz, 10 11 director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. Before you is agenda item 6.B.2 dealing with 12 13 the Administrative Code 217.27. These rules are to 14 address an issue that has been raised recently with 15 regards to the single sticker program that we've got going 16 on as it relates to new vehicles. Currently in statute, a 17 new vehicle which is sold in the State of Texas is 18 required to get a two-year safety inspection, that's the 19 initial safety inspection that they receive. There's been 20 a lot of discussion from dealers around the state, as well as other interested parties, about how that expiration 21 22 would sync up with the registration sticker. 23 This rule is trying to address that issue by

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

requiring anybody that receives a two-year inspection to

also receive a two-year registration to keep those two

24

25

dates in alignment so that we don't get out of sync. We had issues where somebody would come in on a two-year inspection, maybe that vehicle sat on a dealership's lot for eleven months, and then it was sold, and there's a question about when would that inspection expire, would it expire at a different time than the registration if the person came back after one year and tried to register that vehicle. We wanted to make sure that that was seamless for a customer, that there was no ambiguity, and that they would get the full benefit of two years of inspection with a two-year registration. This rule is intended to resolve that issue.

MR. PALACIOS: I just want a little clarity,
Mr. Kuntz. So the inspection, I have an example here, a
vehicle comes onto a dealer's lot June of '15 and it is
inspected at that point and it's sold six months later, so
how are we syncing these?

MR. KUNTZ: What this would require is because you're getting a two-year inspection that they would purchase a two-year registration. That registration period is going to start from the date of sale so when that vehicle is actually sold, which means the expiration date on that sticker would give them a full two years of benefit. They would not be required to inspect that vehicle until the 90 days prior to the expiration of that

registration. So they would not lose any months of inspection, if you will, and there would not be an issue with them coming back.

The problem that we see is that under your scenario they'd come back in one year to get a new registration sticker and if enough time is elapsed, potentially that that inspection date has expired past two years and that they would be required to inspect again even though they've only gotten one year of use out of the vehicle. So we're trying to just make sure that those two dates stay in alignment.

MR. PALACIOS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We think this is going to basically impact the field, if you will, by reducing the two-year inspection to, let's say, one year most of the time from now on so that they don't have these sync problems. We think that's what's going to happen, right, with our dealers?

MR. WALKER: That's not what's happening here.

MR. KUNTZ: Currently right now a vehicle that is sold in the State of Texas is required to get a two-year safety inspection on the initial sale, so if they buy it from a Texas dealer today, they've got a two-year inspection. They're not required to get a two-year registration sticker, and so you have the potential where

in the instance that we heard is a manufacturer may make the vehicle ready at the port and then ship a vehicle to a dealership's lot, that vehicle sits on a lot potentially past one year, then you'd have an issue when that person comes in after that one year that they've owned the vehicle that the inspection date has been expired and they would not be allowed to register, they'd be required to inspect before they could register the vehicle. We're trying to make sure that they get the full benefit of that two-year inspection and two years of registration all together at one time.

2.5

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. It's a rule posting only, so we'll have feedback, I guess, from the industry.

MR. KUNTZ: Absolutely. And we have made some preliminary reaching out to some manufacturers, as well as the TADA to try and get their input on this. I believe we'll have favorable input from the dealer and manufacturer community.

MS. CARAWAY: So the dealer would be the only one qualified for a two-year registration. Correct?

MR. KUNTZ: A Texas dealer is the only one currently today that is qualified to do a two-year inspection, and they would be the only ones that are allowed to do a two-year registration.

MS. CARAWAY: Thank you.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 MR. KUNTZ: Yes, ma'am. 2 MR. WALKER: So it's only on new car sales. 3 MR. KUNTZ: Right. There are no other 4 opportunities to get a two-year inspection in state law 5 today. 6 MR. WALKER: So the question I have -- and you 7 may have answered and I may have missed it, I apologize -but if Mr. Rodriguez over here buys a car brand A and he 8 9 has that car for one year and he's got a two-year 10 registration on it and a two-year inspection sticker on 11 it, and now he sells the car at the end of the year. Do I 12 need to re-register the car and buy a new registration, or 13 will the remaining one year that's paid registration be 14 credited forward to me on the car? 15 MR. KUNTZ: If it is traded in to a dealer, 16 dealers do what we refer to as sticker scraping, and they 17 issue new registration on that vehicle upon resale. If it 18 is a private party sale, there is an opportunity to 19 transfer the registration to the new owner, and there's a 20 fee for transferring that registration. MR. WALKER: So if I bought the car direct from 21 22 Mr. Rodriguez, I could transfer the registration and it would move forward to me. 23 24 MR. KUNTZ: Yes, sir. There would be a \$2.50

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

transfer fee at the time of transfer.

2.5

1	MR. WALKER: But if the car goes to Mr.
2	Palacios here at his dealership, he's going to scrape the
3	sticker off and now I have to buy a new registration.
4	MR. KUNTZ: The new purchaser would purchase a
5	new full year of registration for that vehicle.
6	MR. PALACIOS: So in a private party
7	transaction, it's only the remaining portion of time on
8	that registration.
9	MR. KUNTZ: Yes, sir. If there's six months
10	left, you can pay \$2.50 and have that six months carried
11	forward to the new owner.
12	MR. WALKER: Anybody else have any questions?
13	MR. KUNTZ: And these are being proposed for
14	comment.
15	MS. CARAWAY: I'll make a motion to post as
16	proposed.
17	MR. RUSH: Second.
18	MR. WALKER: So we have a motion from Ms.
19	Caraway to post the rule, we have a second from Mr. Rush.
20	Any more questions that we want to ask? We've pretty
21	much vetted everything.
22	(No response.)
23	MR. WALKER: All in favor signify by saying
24	aye.
25	(A chorus of ayes.)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign. 2 (No response.) 3 MR. WALKER: Motion carries unanimously. 4 Mr. Archer, you are up. Oversize and 5 Overweight Vehicles and loads. 6 MR. ARCHER: Yes, sir. Good morning, Mr. 7 Chairman, members of the board. For the record, my name is Jimmy Archer and I'm the director of the Motor Carrier 8 Division. 9 For the board's consideration I'm proposing 10 11 amendments to the following rules to be published in the 12 Texas Register relating to Oversize and Overweight 13 Vehicles and Loads, 43 Texas Administrative Code, Sections 14 219.1 through 219.3, Sections 219.11 through 219.17, 15 Section 219.30, Sections 219.41 through 219.45, Sections 16 219.61 through 219.64, Section 219.82, and Sections 17 219.124 through 219.126. 18 The proposed amendments to these rules are not 19 substantive in nature and our general cleanup can do the 20 following: change references from TxDOT to Texas Department of Motor vehicles where applicable; change 21 references from Motor Carrier Division to the correct 22 23 authority under statute where applicable; change Texas

Department of Motor Vehicles Board; change references to

Transportation Commission references to the Texas

24

25

the old Chapter 28 to the new Chapter 219, and Chapter 18 to Chapter 218.

2.5

The proposed rules also revise terminology to be consistent with other departmental rules and current practices, as well as add, delete and modify certain definitions. It eliminates redundancy by removing some language from the chapter that is already in the Transportation Code, as well as ensuring rules are consistent with law. Where possible, removes graphics and replace it with the language itself so they're easier to understand. The proposal replaces the old house move diagram with a cleaner, modern version. And finally, it removes language that does not belong in administrative rule.

The proposed amendments, if approved by the board for publication, staff anticipates publication in the Texas Register on or about March 6, 2015 and comments and the proposed amendments will be accepted until 5:00 p.m. on April 6, 2015.

I respectfully ask the board to approve these proposed rules for posting in the Texas Register, and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Again, posting proposal at this point. Correct?

MR, ARCHER: Yes, sir.

1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So moved, Mr. Chair. 2 MR. PALACIOS: Second. 3 MR. WALKER: We have a recommendation from 4 Member Rodriguez to post Chapter 219 changes to the public register, we have a second by Member Palacios. 5 6 questions, comments? 7 (No response.) 8 MR. WALKER: All in favor signify by saying 9 aye. 10 (A chorus of ayes.) MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign. 11 12 (No response.) 13 MR. WALKER: Motion carries unanimously. 14 Mr. Archer, you can step down, I think. But 15 how about Mr. Kuntz? I'm kind of going to jump around 16 here for just a minute. I know I've been all over the 17 page today but I'm trying to accommodate maybe some people 18 in the audience here. I want to go to item 7.D, if I 19 could, at this time, Specialty plates design. 20 MR. KUNTZ: Again for the record, Jeremiah 21 Kuntz, director of Vehicle Titles and Registration 22 Division. 23 Before you are new specialty plate designs 24 which are before you for your approval. We've got six new 25 plate designs that are being proposed. Five of these

plates are My Plates designs, and then the other one, the Texas Wildflower plate, is a design that has a state agency sponsor, that would be the University of Texas at Austin, who is in charge of the Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center, with a mission to increase the use and conservation of native wildflower.

At your pleasure, we can either take these up individually or take them up as a group.

MR. SLOVACEK: Take them as a group.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WALKER: Well, I need to kind of not do it that way.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can you do vendor plates and non-vendor plates?

MR. WALKER: Let's do non-vendor plates to start with. Can we do that?

MR. KUNTZ: The plate that I just mentioned, the Texas Wildflower plate, was proposed by the University of Texas at Austin. This would be outside of the My Plates program as one of those state agency sponsored license plates. As I said, it's for the support of the Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center. We did post it for public comment in November of 2014, we had 2,768 people that liked the design and 355 that said they did not.

MR. WALKER: So we have a recommendation by staff that we adopt the non-vendor plate which is the

1	Texas Wildflower. Do I have a motion to adopt?
2	MR. SLOVACEK: So moved.
3	MR. RUSH: Second.
4	MR. WALKER: We have a motion from Mr.
5	Slovacek, we have a second from Mr. Rush.
6	At this point in time I would like to call a
7	Damon Waitt. I think you have requested that you do a
8	public comment about this plate.
9	MR. WAITT: Yes, sir. Did you just vote to
10	adopt it?
11	MR. WALKER: Not yet. I have a motion to adopt
12	and I have a second, but I'm going to allow you to make a
13	comment about why you don't want this license plate.
14	MR. WAITE: Why I don't? Well, actually, I do.
15	MR. WALKER: I'm just pulling your leg, you
16	know.
17	MR. WAITT: Okay. I'm the senior director of
18	the Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center.
19	And I worked on this plate with a volunteer,
20	one of our volunteers who did the illustration. And just
21	a little side story, when I asked if I could compensate
22	her work, she said all the compensation she would need
23	would be to see this license plate on the back of vehicles
24	in Texas.

So as you know, the Wildflower Center is a

25

plant conservation organization, and we do a lot in the State of Texas and we work with a lot of state agencies, like the Texas Department of Transportation. So for example, we are launching a project to support monarch health along the I-35 corridor. So the funds that we hope to raise through the sales of license plate will help support those conservation efforts.

When we chose the design, we decided to go with multiple wildflowers. I remember Lady Bird Johnson saying to me, when I asked her what is your favorite wildflower, she said, They're all my favorites, they're like my children and I can't pick just one.

So we really hope you'll support this plate. We think it has great potential and will become a very popular specialty plate in Texas. Thank you. Any questions?

(No response.)

MR. WALKER: Thank you very much, Mr. Waitt, for your comments. We appreciate you coming forward today.

MR. SLOVACEK: Apple pie and mother. Right?

Alamo. I mean, it's all the same, isn't it? Who could vote against the Alamo?

(General laughter.)

MR. WALKER: Do we have any other comments or

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 recommended support? 2 (No response.) 3 MR. WALKER: If not, all in favor of adopting 4 plate number D.6 signify by saying aye. 5 (A chorus of ayes.) 6 MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign. 7 (No response.) MR. WALKER: The plate is adopted. 8 9 Now, Mr. Farrar, we're going to do the vendor 10 plates here real quick, and would you like to come forward, Steve? 11 MR. FARRAR: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, thank 12 13 you for calling me. I'm Steve Farrar, president of My 14 Plates. 15 MR. WALKER: And today we have one, two, three, 16 four, five plates that are being proposed by the private 17 vendor for recommendation and approval. Is that correct? 18 MR. FARRAR: Yes, sir. Before you are five 19 vendor plates, but we've decided to pull the Flag of Texas 20 plate at this time, and we'll reconsider bringing that back at a later time. 21 22 MR. WALKER: And that is plate number 1 right 23 there which is the plate on the right side here? 24 MR. FARRAR: Correct. 25 MR. WALKER: Okay. So the rest of them we're

going to go forward with, and I guess do you want to expound upon those plates and tell us what they are real quick?

MR. FARRAR: Very quickly, for the benefit of everyone, I can't see the board, but the number 2 plate, the Dallas Mavericks plate, which is this plate here that I'm holding, is a crossover plate, meaning that the Dallas Mavericks, who have had a license plate in the state program for a number of years made the decision to move their plate from the state program into the My Plates program, and during that process decided to redesign the plate as well. So there was a decision to bring that plate into the My Plates program and certainly it's there for the consideration of the board for their approval for it to move into the My Plates program.

The current Mavericks plate in the state program is this one, so it would be replacing that one in the market for a new sales going forward. Anyone who currently has the existing state plate can continue to renew that plate for as many years as they'd continue to like to renew it.

The number 3 is the Texas Oil and Gas plate, so it has the oil and gas. Texas is all about oil and gas and so this is a very popular design in some plate design concepts that we had tested through surveys over the last

couple of years and decided to move forward with that proposal before you today. It reflects, obviously, oil and gas here in Texas.

MR. WALKER: So that's not being sponsored by anybody.

MR. FARRAR: Correct. It's just a May Plates plate.

MR. WALKER: A My Plates plate that says I'm in the oil and gas business, basically.

MR. FARRAR: That's correct.

The number 4 is the Lone Star 1836 plate. With the recent design changes we made last year with the removal of T plates, we had a number of people who liked that format of a design where there's a white panel down the side and the full black, and so we looked at recreating a plate of that nature in a similar format, and so we came up with the Lone Star 1836 plate. And that's just a My Plates plate, no organization behind that plate as well.

And number 5 is the Gas Monkey Garage. I'm not sure if any of you have heard of Gas Monkey Garage, but they do have a very popular cable television show.

They're based in Dallas, they're very much in the automotive world. They are actually an authorized dealer within the State of Texas as well. They approached us

many months ago with regards to looking at a plate for their customers. Many of their customers and fans and supporters in Texas are avid fans of their organization. So we looked at their proposal, they put through an application.

This is an organization and they're looking to donate some proceeds to their designated charity, as well, from the proceeds that they receive from the royalties that we pay the Gas Monkey Garage. And again, there for your consideration as well. I think we've had well over 400 people who have already registered interest to purchase this plate.

MR. WALKER: So we have a recommendation by staff and by our third party vendor. There's five plates submitted and we are going to pull plate number 1 -- or they have pulled plate number 1 from recommendation. So I need a motion to accept these.

MR. RUSH: I make a motion.

MR. WALKER: So we have a motion from Mr. Rush to accept and adopt the plates.

MR. SLOVACEK: Second.

MR. WALKER: We have a second from Mr. Slovacek.

Before I go forward, with comments I have a Gary Gibbs.

1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I've got a couple of questions 2 as well, Mr. Chairman. 3 MR. WALKER: Can we listen to the comments 4 first? 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sure. That's fine. 6 MR. GIBBS: Mr. Chairman, members, Ms. 7 Brewster. I'm Gary Gibbs, the executive director of the Texas Commission on the Arts. 8 9 I'm pleased with the decision today to withdraw the flag because I came to oppose that. This has been our 10 11 specialty plate for many years. For many years it was the 12 highest selling specialty plate in the State of Texas. 13 Since the partnership with My Plates and the state, our 14 sales have dropped over 50 percent, but they're still 15 valuable dollars to our agency that we use to grant to 16 arts organizations and schools throughout the state 17 because our mission as a state agency is to promote and 18 support the arts. 19 So we will continue to oppose any effort to use 20 these specific design elements in creating a new plate. 21 We feel like if you want the Texas Flag, this is the 22 plate. Thank you for allowing me to share my thoughts 23 today. Thank you, Mr. Gibbs. 24 MR. WALKER:

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. SLOVACEK: You're speaking to the Flag of

25

1	Texas that was taken off the table?
2	MR. GIBBS: Yes.
3	MR. SLOVACEK: And you're okay with that?
4	MR. GIBBS: I'm okay with it being taken off
5	the table; I'd like it to stay under the table.
6	(General laughter.)
7	MR. WALKER: I think you can thank Mr. Farrar
8	there next to you.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So you've lost revenue.
10	MR. GIBBS: Yes.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
12	MR. GIBBS: Fifty percent.
13	MR. SLOVACEK: Does it come with a trademark
14	issue on these designs? Are these trademarked?
15	MR. DUNCAN: All of them are. David Duncan,
16	general counsel. All of the designs belong to the state
17	at the end of it, all of the license plate designs are
18	state designs.
19	MR. WALKER: Thank you very much. Appreciate
20	your comments.
21	I also have a Grant Weaver. Are you still here?
22	MR. WEAVER: (Speaking from audience.) I
23	consolidated my comments with Dr. Gibbs.
24	MR. WALKER: Thank you very much for coming.
25	I'm sorry. Maybe I should have pulled this up a little

earlier so you didn't have to stay for the whole meeting, but I hope you've enjoyed it and got something out of it.

MR. GIBBS: Reminds me of the ones we have at our office.

(General laughter.)

2.5

MR. WALKER: Thank you for coming. Appreciate it.

So we have a motion and we have a second. Any further comments? Mr. Rodriguez?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a couple of questions, Mr. Chairman.

One for Jeremiah. I don't know if you've noticed but I believe that it's been out in the public already, some of these authorities, these toll authorities are experiencing LPR problems, license plate reader problems, and they're billing people across the state and they're finding out that they're getting misreads. My question to you is is there any correlation between those misreads that is happening with more frequency right now -- I know it's happening in Austin, I know North Texas had some, and I know the Houston area has had some -- is there any correlation between those misreads or what's going on in that world with our specialty plates? If you don't know, you don't know. I'm just asking.

MR. KUNTZ: I'm not aware that anybody has

looked at that to see if there's a direct correlation. I
do know that all of our plates are tested for reflectivity
and we also try and get input from the public on these.

Obviously, the toll authorities and law enforcement have
the ability to provide us comments if they believe that it
would be difficult to read.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Reflectivity is one thing, license plate reading is a whole different other ballpark.

MR. KUNTZ: Absolutely.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: For Mr. Farrar. How are you, sir?

MR. FARRAR: Very well. Thank you, Chief.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: If some exotic dance club wanted to have its license plate and instead of Gas Monkey Garage at the bottom it would say something like that, how would you handle that?

MR. FARRAR: We've had numerous organizations contact us over the years, and believe me, there have been hundreds upon hundreds of organizations, many of which we will not bring before you today. With regards to the Gas Monkey Garage, yes, it's their logo and it has a monkey on it. It obviously raises some questions and concerns, I can understand that, and we looked at that organization, they are an authorized dealer of the State of Texas, they've got a very popular program on television which is

a huge media opportunity, and we recognized that there were some other benefits for us to get behind that organization to sponsor them to bring them to the table today.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So just so that I get this right, the decision at the entry point -- the entry point being your business -- you shut them down there, we don't ever get a chance to say yes or no.

MR. FARRAR: Correct.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I can see where that can be a problem for us down the road, but you're basically exercising an authority that's relegated to the state.

MR. FARRAR: We'll make a judgment call with regards to our investment getting behind an organization to choose to sponsor them or not.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I understand.

MR. FARRAR: There are a number of sponsored organizations or charities that can actually go down the state route, to look at a state agency to sponsor them, and we've certainly sent a number that direction because we felt in their best interest they were looking for more of a charity revenue generator for themselves and not necessarily for the state. Our charter is obviously to generate revenue for the State of Texas, and so it would be in the best interest for some charity organizations to

1 get a state agency to sponsor them, similar to the 2 Wildflower plate that was before you earlier. 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Have you heard any of these 4 problems that are occurring with these toll authorities 5 around the state, by chance? 6 Mr. FARRAR: It's the first. I certainly heard 7 a story on the news with regards to Xerox and TxDOT 8 responding to some criticism with regards to their billing 9 processes and everything of that nature. I'm not sure how 10 it relates to the license plates, I haven't looked into it in that detail. 11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Farrar. 12 13 MR. WALKER: Victor, where did you hear those 14 comments about the readability? 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's been in the news. 16 MR. WALKER: So okay. We have a motion to 17 adopt the remaining four vendor plates, excluding item 18 number 1, the Texas Flag. All in favor signify by saying 19 aye. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry. This is a vote for 21 what? 22 MR. WALKER: To adopt. 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm opposing, obviously, Mr. 24 Chairman. I withdraw my vote. 2.5 MR. WALKER: Okay. I tell you what, let's do

1	this. All in favor signify by raising your right hand,
2	please.
3	(A show of hands: Barnwell, Caraway, Rush,
4	Slovacek and Walker.)
5	MR. WALKER: So we have Mr. Slovacek, Mr.
6	Walker, Mr. Rush and Mr. Barnwell and Ms. Caraway in
7	favor.
8	All opposed signify by raising your right hand.
9	(A show of hands: Palacios and Rodriguez.)
10	MR. WALKER: So we have two nay votes from
11	Victor Rodriguez and Raymond Palacios. Motion carries.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just to be clear, that group
13	that's approved, Mr. Chairman, does not include the Flag
14	of Texas. Right?
15	MR. WALKER: That is correct, it did not
16	include the Flag of Texas. I excluded that as plate
17	number 1.
18	MR. FARRAR: Thank you all.
19	MR. WALKER: Thank you, Steve. Appreciate it.
20	Thank you, Jeremiah. I guess I'm finished with
21	you here.
22	I've skipped around so much, I've got to figure
23	out what I've missed. Let's go to 7.A, B, C.
24	MS. FLORES: Again for the record, Linda
25	Flores CFO

1 On page 393 of your briefing document is a request to renew a rental term for the Carrolton/Dallas 2 3 Regional Office. The term period is five years at a cost 4 of \$623,000, approximately \$623,000. The annual rent 5 starts out at \$121,000 and is \$125- at the end of the 6 term. 7 The regional service center houses 8 approximately 21 FTEs. They process replacement titles, 9 bonded title rejection letters, apportioned registration and permits, and they research Texas title errors. 10 This is the model that was used to set up the 11 Houston Regional Service Center, so I'm just going to show 12 13 you some of the pictures from our Dallas office. You'll 14 notice a lot of similarities. 15 MR. SLOVACEK: Pretty busy place. 16 MR. WALKER: We did these pictures after hours. 17 MS. FLORES: Before hours. 18 And we're asking for your approval to proceed 19 with the purchase request. 20 MR. WALKER: What do you mean a purchase 21 request? 22 MS. FLORES: I'm sorry. The purchase order. 23 It's a purchase order in order to process the rental 24 agreement.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. WALKER: But there is an existing lease

2.5

that is expiring?

MS. FLORES: Yes, sir. It was actually a little bit higher, so right now the annual rate is like \$10,000 a month so it was a little bit higher. And this goes through 2019.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So you're asking us to approve, if I read this correctly --

MS. FLORES: To give the executive director authority to approve the renewal purchase order.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And that's because the contract total exceeds the \$200,000?

MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.

MR. SLOVACEK: I have a motion. Mr. Chairman,
I move that we authorize the agency's executive director,
or her designee, to finalize and approve the renewal lease
purchase order for the Carrolton/Dallas Regional Service
Center for a term of five years, including her authority
to approve any amendments with the approval of the
chairman.

MR. WALKER: So we have a motion to delegate authority to the executive director to execute a contract for the continuation of a lease in our Dallas facility for the rental cost of \$10,099.38. And I was going to have a question, but I can look at the numbers now and see that there is a very, very, very small escalation clause in

1	there. And is that an estimated CPI? I'm looking at the
2	numbers that you're providing. Is that a fixed CPI or are
3	those estimated numbers?
4	MS. FLORES: Estimated.
5	MR. WALKER: So the numbers that we are looking
6	at in our book here of \$10,499 a month versus \$10,099 a
7	month is only a guess going forward?
8	MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.
9	MR. WALKER: And so is that really the
10	appropriate way to present that to the board instead of
11	I mean, how do we come up with a CPI when we don't know
12	what it's going to be?
13	MS. FLORES: The CPI rate is normally
14	negotiated by Texas Facilities Commission with the lessor,
15	and so it's kind of the standard term in any given rental
16	agreement.
17	MR. WALKER: But we don't know what the CPI is,
18	that number.
19	MS. FLORES: This is a not to exceed.
20	MR. WALKER: Okay. And what is that particular
21	number?
22	MS. FLORES: The total is going to be
23	\$623,962.80.
24	MR. WALKER: So the CPIs are almost already
25	identified in the particular lease.

1	MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.
2	MR. SLOVACEK: And this motion will approve a
3	purchase order for a lease renewal.
4	MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.
5	MR. WALKER: So I need a motion, which we do
6	from Slovacek, and we have a second from?
7	MR. RUSH: Me.
8	MR. WALKER: From Mr. Rush. Any more
9	questions? Raymond, are you good with this? Can we
10	afford it?
11	MR. PALACIOS: Yes.
12	MR. WALKER: All in favor signify by saying
13	aye.
14	(A chorus of ayes.)
15	MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign.
16	(No response.)
17	MR. WALKER: Motion carries. You have
18	authority. That's a lot of money to spend.
19	MS. BREWSTER: We wouldn't bring it before you
20	if we didn't have the money.
21	(General laughter.)
22	MR. WALKER: Let's go to item number I think
23	it is Mr. Archer.
24	MR. ARCHER: Yes, sir. Item 7.B and 7.C are
25	going to be two contract renewals.

Chairman Walker, board members, Executive Director Brewster. For the record, my name is Jimmy Archer, director of the Motor Carrier Division.

The Motor Carrier Division is asking the board to approve renewal of one twelve-month term of service from ProMiles Software Development Corporation to provide hosting services for our Texas Permitting and Routing Optimization System, or TxPROS. ProMiles hosts TxPROS in its servers and provides annual maintenance and support. This includes software technical support, bug fixes, documentation, quarterly GIS updates and 936 hours of enhancements. As part of its hosting services, ProMiles provides full disaster recovery services with remote hosting at a separate location.

In fiscal year 2014, total Oversize and
Overweight permit revenue was \$178,841,571, and the total
web hosting and maintenance cost was \$384,700, or one
dollar for every \$465 dollars collected in permit fees.
The cost for web hosting services currently is \$19,858 a
month, or \$238,200 per year, and it's estimated to be the
same going forward. The current contract expires in March
2016 and allows for two twelve-month renewals for hosting
services. This purchase order would be the second and
last of those two annual renewals.

I respectfully ask the board to approve the

1 contract renewal and will be happy to answer any 2 questions. 3 MR. BARNWELL: The ultimate term of the 4 contract is March of 2016? 5 MR. ARCHER: This is our last year option to 6 renew. 7 MR. BARNWELL: So we will renew effective March of 2015? 8 9 MR. ARCHER: Yes, sir. MR. BARNWELL: So this is the second of those 10 11 two. I understand. MR. PALACIOS: And what happens after that 12 13 period? 14 MR. ARCHER: We'll have to put it out for bid 15 and get a new -- rebid it, basically. We've already 16 started working on statements of work for that. 17 MR. BARNWELL: Mr. Chairman, I move to 18 authorize the agency's executive director, or her 19 designee, to finalize the execute the one-year contract 20 renewal with ProMiles Software Development Corporation for Texas Permitting and Routing Optimization System, TxPROS 21 22 website hosting. In addition, I also move to authorize 23 the agency's executive director to execute any amendments 24 to the contract with the approval of the chairman. 2.5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second, Mr. Chairman.

1	MR. WALKER: We have a motion from Mr. Barnwell
2	to authorize the executive director to enter into a
3	contract with the ProMiles Software program for TxPROS, we
4	have a second by Mr. Rodriguez. Any further comments?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. WALKER: I have one question. Jimmy, is it
7	\$384,000 or \$320,000? You said 4384- but the paperwork
8	says \$320
9	MR. ARCHER: The \$384,700 is the combined
10	maintenance and web hosting. The annual web hosting is
11	\$238,200.
12	MR. WALKER: So what are we asking for approval
13	for, the \$384- or the \$320-?
14	MR. ARCHER: The web hosting only.
15	MR. WALKER: Just the web hosting only, the
16	\$320- .
17	MR. ARCHER: Yes. So any other questions?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. WALKER: All in favor signify by saying
20	aye.
21	MR. BARNWELL: Let me ask a question.
22	MR. WALKER: You got it in on time.
23	MR. BARNWELL: How much is web hosting a month
24	now?
25	MR. ARCHER: \$19,850.

1	MR. BARNWELL: And for the year that is
2	\$238,000?
3	MR. ARCHER: \$238,200, yes, sir.
4	MR. BARNWELL: And that's the limited extent of
5	the renewal that we're talking about here, the web hosting
6	portion of their contract?
7	MR. ARCHER: Yes, sir. That's what I'm asking
8	you to do. Absolutely, yes, sir.
9	MR. BARNWELL: Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Chairman.
LO	MR. WALKER: That's okay. So we were paying
L1	\$138,000 for this?
12	MR. ARCHER: No, sir.
L3	MR. WALKER: \$19,000 times
L 4	MR. ARCHER: \$19,850 times twelve is \$238,200.
L 5	MR. RUSH: Just a hair under \$240,000.
L 6	MR. WALKER: I have been told a thousand times
L7	Marvin is a whiz with numbers.
L8	So why did it go up? I guess let me ask that
L 9	question. Why did it go up so much? That's a pretty good
20	jump from \$238- to \$320
21	MR. ARCHER: I think you're looking at the IRP
22	contract, Mr. Chairman. This is TxPROS, the next one is
23	IRP. This one has not gone up.
24	MR. WALKER: Okay. I'm sorry.
25	MR BARNWELL. Try and make that more clear T

1	the future for the chairman, would you please?
2	(General talking and laughter.)
3	MR. WALKER: All in favor signify by saying
4	aye.
5	(A chorus of ayes.)
6	MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign.
7	(No response.)
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: See you later.
9	MR. WALKER: We've got one more.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there one more?
11	MR. WALKER: Sit down.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I thought B and C were
13	together.
14	MR. WALKER: And you don't want to stay and
15	watch Marvin take over and run this deal?
16	(General laughter.)
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We took B and C together,
18	didn't we?
19	MR. ARCHER: We did B, now we're doing C.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I thought we did both of them.
21	I'm sorry. Go ahead.
22	MR. WALKER: Go ahead.
23	MR. ARCHER: We request the board approve
24	renewal of the contract with Explore Information Services
25	for one year of software maintenance and support of the

1 existing Texas International Registration Plan. The 2 current contract expires March 31, 2015. 3 The board approved a new contract last year 4 with Explore Information Services. That contracted 5 included an option for three one-year renewals. With your 6 approval, this will be the first of those three annual 7 renewals. 8 The contract supports the online Texas IRP 9 system and related components. The local cost is \$26,691 10 a month, or \$320,292 annually. And I respectfully ask 11 that you approve this contract renewal. 12 MR. RUSH: Same as last year. 13 MR. ARCHER: Yes, sir. 14 MR. RUSH: I move approval. 15 MR. WALKER: We have a motion by Mr. Rush. 16 we have a second? 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second, Mr. Chairman. 18 MR. WALKER: We have a second by Mr. Rodriguez. 19 Any questions, comments? Ms. Caraway, are you sure? 20 Forever hold your peace. 21 MS. CARAWAY: Yes, sir. 22 MR. WALKER: All in favor signify by saying 23 aye. 24 (A chorus of ayes.) 25 MR. WALKER: All opposed, same sign.

1 (No response.) 2 MR. WALKER: Motion carries unanimously. MR. RODRIGUEZ: 3 See you guys later. 4 (Member Rodriguez left the meeting at approximately 11:45 a.m.) 5 MR. WALKER: Is Sandra here? Let's go to your 6 7 Internal Audit report real quick. MS. VICE: Mr. Chairman, members, Ms. Brewster. 8 9 For the record, I'm Sandra Vice, Internal Audit director. On page 98 there is a handout and that is the 10 11 status update of the Internal Audit activities that I'm 12 going to provide today. There is no action required, this 13 is informational only, just a regular report. There's 14 three things I'm going to go over: one is how we're doing 15 on the current audit plan, second is I'm going to give you 16 an update on external audits that have been conducted, and 17 then I'm going to talk about our first peer review. 18 So again, on page 98, the first item under the 19 Internal Audit Plan is that we have completed the audit of 20 the agency's use of contract workers. That audit begins on page 99. And just briefly, to give you an overview of 21 22 what that audit was about, it set out to verify that the 23 agency was following laws and regulations and that terms

in the contracts were enforced. And again, as you may

have heard in the news, this report was very timely

24

25

because the topic of whether contracts are procured in accordance with laws has been very much in the news here in Austin. And we concluded that the agency has procured the contract worker services in accordance with laws, regulations and agency policies and procedures.

Some of the strengths of the agency's contracting processes are that, for example, when a needs assessment is required they were performed, that the agency procured the services in the correct procurement method. And we also verified that the agency paid vendors the correct rate, that time sheets supported the amounts invoiced, and that invoices matched payments.

There were a few things that we recommended to improve the agency's use of contract workers, and these included, for example: that the agency provide guidance to our employees on how to manage contract worker engagements; also, that we develop a process to review and document the justification to hire a contract worker instead of an employee; that there be a statement of work in the staff augmentation contracts; and that whenever possible that we convert hourly rate contracts to deliverable based contracts.

Management has agreed with these recommendations and others in the report, and I'm happy to report that they've already begun working on implementing

them.

2 Any questions about the audit?

MR. PALACIOS: Yes, I have some questions. I guess I'd direct them to Ms. Brewster. I personally saw this as a very, very critical component of the audit, given the scope and magnitude of dollars that we expend on contractors, and although I guess the procurement process is fine, time sheets, according to Ms. Vice were fine. I guess my overall concern is oversight of the contractors that we hire in that we can, I guess, receive assurances that we are receiving value for the dollars that we're expending.

And I guess I just want to be certain that we have proper oversight of these contractors, and I'm not exactly sure what the chain of command or who they report to. I guess on one hand we have to be careful about this, that they are contractors and certainly that we're not supervising their activities, but it's very, very important that we can ascertain that we are in fact receiving value for the dollars that we're spending, and that's the area that I think is critical that we focus on.

MS. BREWSTER: For the record, Whitney Brewster, executive director.

I completely agree with, Member Palacios. We are in a time of great activity within this agency,

primarily with the number of projects that the agency has going on, so the majority of these contractors are within Information Technology Services, as well as the Enterprise Project Management Office. We have developed a governance process when it comes to our projects, as well as we have executive steering committees that oversee these projects. We report regularly on the performance on these projects, and we also report out to the board on an ongoing basis as to the status of the projects.

I was very pleased that the audit found that we are in compliance with state laws as well as rules, but there are certainly areas that we can tighten up, and we certainly will do so.

MR. PALACIOS: Thank you.

MS. VICE: Anything else?

The second item related to the audit plan is a notice that we have started another audit. This is the audit of the VTR Division mission, and we have decided after doing some planning that we're going to focus on the title processes. So that audit I hope to bring to you at a June meeting.

Then I'd like to brief you on some external audits that have been performed. There's a table in the handout that shows four different engagements. The first of these is a report by the State Auditor's Office. It

was not an audit but an informational report on multiple large information system projects, and NMVTIS, the National Motor Vehicle Title Information System, from our agency was selected in this report. The report included information that the project was implemented under budget, and that's very unique. It did not spend about 40 percent of its budget. It was also completed about two months after the estimated date, which is not very late. And that it was, most importantly, completed with the intent functionality. This agency actually provided them a demonstration and they were able to see that it does what it was meant to do.

The Department of Public Safety conducted two audits of this agency in December. The first of these was how this agency accesses criminal history record information, and the second was how this agency complies with the Criminal Justice Information System security policies. And again, the agency was found to be totally compliant, there were no issues of compliance. And they won't be back for another three years; those are required every three years.

The final engagement is a financial audit that is being performed by the State Auditor's Office. We are currently providing the management responses and that report will be finalized later this month, and at our next

board meeting I will bring you the results from that audit.

Are there any questions about the external engagements?

MS. BREWSTER: Mr. Chairman, if I might just add a comment on the National Motor Vehicle Title

Information System project that was looked at or reviewed.

I think it's important to note that on that project the agency elected to put a warranty period onto that project, which the project went live and then we continued to look at the project to make sure that we didn't roll off our resources if there were issues that came up and needed to be addressed. So much of that two-month period where it went over was dedicated to a warranty period so that we had resources available to address issues. So the project was moving along quite well but we wanted to just make sure, because it has such a wide reach, that all of the kinks were worked out.

MR. WALKER: Thank you.

MS. VICE: The final item of my update, on page 126 I include a handout for you. I'd like to notify the board that beginning next week the auditor is being audited. It does happen. We are going to be undergoing our first ever peer review and this will be conducted by external auditors from other state agencies that will be

1	coming in, looking at our policies and procedures,
2	actually looking at the work that we perform, our reports.
3	They'll be talking to some members of the agency
4	management, as well as some of the board members. And the
5	purpose of this is to assess whether our Internal Audit
6	activity is complying with the standards that we're
7	supposed to operate under, including following the Texas
8	Internal Auditing Act.
9	It will culminate in a report that I'll bring
10	to you at our next board meeting, it's supposed to be done
11	by April, and they'll issue an opinion and it can be one
12	for three things: it can be pass, it can be pass with
13	deficiencies, or it can be fail. I'm shooting for pass.
14	So I hope to bring that to you at our next board meeting.
15	I do have a letter to the auditors, Mr.
16	Chairman, that I'd like you to sign for me at the
17	conclusion of the board meeting.
18	But that's my update, and I'll be happy to
19	answer any questions.
20	MR. WALKER: Thank you very much, Ms. Vice.
21	Does anybody have any questions for Ms. Vice on
22	Internal Audit?
23	MR. BARNWELL: Good job.
24	MS. VICE: Thank you.
25	MR. WALKER: Let's go to Caroline. Are you

here? Hiding from me back behind that post; I'm going to move that post.

(General talking and laughter.)

MS. LOVE: Good morning. My name is Caroline Love. I am the director of Government and Strategic Communications for the department, and I will report to you on item 4.B.1 which is a legislative update.

So first I'll cover the status of the legislative agenda that was adopted by the board at your November meeting, and to date we have not seen anything filed related to the bills that we proposed. However, we have had the opportunity to visit with Senator Nichols and his staff and also Representative Pickett and his staff to discuss the provisions included in the agenda, and they are assisting us with the official drafting of the omnibus bill and helping us move forward on that effort.

We also have had a chance to visit with the Comptroller's Office and Texas Legislative Counsel on the reestablishment of the TxDMV Fund. And yesterday we had the opportunity to visit with Chairman John Otto, who is the chair of the House Appropriations Committee, and discuss the options that we have identified for reestablishing that fund.

There were two options that we were considering. One was a rather lengthy amendment to the

fund's consolidation bill that would reestablish the fund and exempt it from the provisions of funds consolidation, or have a separate stand-alone bill that would reestablish the fund and then pursue also the exemption from funds consolidation as an amendment. And Chairman Otto recommended that second option so we are going to continue to move forward on pursuing that in the establishment of the DMV Fund.

In terms of the bills that have been filed to date, there are about 2,000 bills that have been filed by both the House and the Senate, and we have been keeping an eye on those. Many of those bills impact several state agencies. For example, Senator Nelson filed Senate Bill 353 which puts many provisions forward for state agencies to comply with on contracting measures, including ethics training for staff that deal with contracts and posting of contracts online and different things like that.

And we also have been looking at some bills that are more specific to DMV operations and we have a couple of bills that we've identified to have more of a significant interest or impact. One of those is House Bill 439 by Representative Larry Gonzales and it's essentially the same as Senate Bill 449 by Senator Bettencourt that would expand the definition of motorcycle in statute to allow for the registration of vehicles such

as the Polaris Slingshot. So that's one that we're keeping an eye on to see what happens there.

There is House Bill 735 by Representative Sylvia Israel from the Austin area that would require us to produce an annual report on the number of alternatively fueled vehicles that are registered in the state, and that would be an annual report.

There's also 16 bills to date that have been filed creating various specialty license plates. That includes House Bill 199 by Representative Huberty which would establish a new plate for county tax assessor—collectors. And then there's also Senate Bill 274 by Senator West which would establish a county official license plate for those county officials that are elected into office.

And lastly, I did want to mention another one, there was Senate Bill 441 by Connie Burton. She's from the Colleyville/Fort Worth area, and that would remove any requirement for auto dealerships to be open only a Saturday or a Sunday, so that would repeal that section.

MR. WALKER: The Blue Laws, they're going to repeal that? Somebody is proposing to repeal the Blue Law?

 $\label{eq:MR.PALACIOS: Who's doing that?} \\$

MS. LOVE: Senator Connie Burton.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. WALKER: Guess you're going to work seven days a week, Raymond.

MR. PALACIOS: Already do in New Mexico. Texas is where I come for vacation.

(General laughter.)

MS. LOVE: And there's other legislation we're aware of being considered but it hasn't been filed yet, and for example, we've been invited by Senator Nichols to participate in what are being called in Transportation Network Companies Working Groups. And this working group is made up of different agencies that could have an interest in the regulation of companies such as Uber and Lift. Those companies currently are regulated at the municipal or local levels, and they, I think, have an interest in taking more of a statewide regulation approach like has been done in Virginia and in Colorado. So we have a working group meeting coming up on that actually Monday, and so we'll continue to keep you informed.

Senator Nichols has indicated to us that he would like for the DMV to be that regulating entity, so we're considering to research what that would mean for us in terms of resources and how that would look in state law.

Just in general, the activities of the legislature, as you are aware, they started one month ago,

the House and the Senate convened for the first time and there's been several inaugural activities and things like that since. And next Tuesday at 11:00 a.m. there will be a joint session of the House and the Senate and Governor Abbott will give his State of the State address at that time.

Lieutenant Dan Patrick announced the Senate committee assignments on January 23, and that included Senator Jane Nelson of Flower Mound as the chair of Senate Finance, and then Senator Robert Nichols was renamed the chair of Senate Transportation.

And then Speaker Straus announced his committee assignments on February 4, and that included

Representative John Otto of Dayton as the chair of House Appropriations, and Representative Joe Pickett of El Paso as the chair of House Transportation.

And just a quick side note, yesterday the subcommittees of House Appropriations were announced and they break up the subcommittees by articles of the budget, and our articles are subcommittees 6, 7 and 8, and that is now chaired by Representative Larry Gonzales and he's at the Round Rock area.

And I thought I'd give a quick overview of the upcoming hearings that we are committed to participate in, and this is pretty much over the next week and a half.

Next Wednesday, February 18, the House Appropriations
Subcommittee will be meeting to hear from us about our
budget. They start at 7:30 in the morning but they
released an agenda and we are towards the end, and that is
due to the fact that previously Senator Nichols had
indicated he would like to hear from us at a Senate
Transportation hearing next Wednesday, February 18, from
8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. Other agencies that he'll be
hearing from that morning include TxDOT -- he did have a
hearing with TxDOT earlier this week but they didn't
complete their testimony -- and then DPS is also part of
that hearing.

2.5

On next Thursday, February 19, at 8:00 a.m., Chairman Pickett will hold his first hearing and they have asked us to attend, so we'll be at that one. And then on Tuesday, February 24, at 9:00 a.m., we will be in front of Senate Finance to talk about our budget there as well.

As a reminder, the deadline to file nonemergency and non-local bills is one month from today, so
we will see a flurry of bills being filed. I believe that
that number of 2,000 will at least double, likely more
than that. Typically, the number of bills filed per
session are between 5,000 and 7,000. So we'll see what
the future holds there.

That concludes my update on legislative items.

Are there any questions that I can answer?

MR. WALKER: Thank you very much, Caroline.

MS. LOVE: I have another one.

MR. WALKER: You've got another report too?

Oh, what are you going to do, single sticker?

MS. LOVE: Single sticker. Item 4.B.2, I wanted to also provide you all with an update on our single sticker media efforts.

We had on February 3 what we referred to as Single Sticker Day at the Capitol, and Senator West hosted us and we were able to have a very informative and helpful press conference with several members of the media and talk about what it means to go to the Two Steps, One Sticker process starting March 1. DPS and TCEQ joined us at that press conference, and we did receive quite a bit of good feedback from that.

We also started the radio PSAs at the end of January, and that seems to have been well received so far. And since the beginning of the year, since January 1, we have tracked more than 150 news items and that includes radio, TV and newspaper stories related to the implementation of single sticker, and there could be even more stories than that out there. I think that these are the ones that we have found in our searches. That also covers all 20 of the Texas media markets, so we've

certainly seen wide outreach on that.

We're going to continue to do Two Steps, One Sticker stories. There's a copy in your books on page 135 of the single sticker press release that went out on the day of the press conference. And in addition, we've developed a county-specific press release that we sent out to all the counties for them to use, and it has been widely used by many places, so that was a successful effort as well.

So that is pretty much the wrap-up of what we've seen on that. We'll continue those efforts moving forward. The radio ads go through July, and we're going to continue to monitor activity and see what happens after everything goes live which we will continue to do moving forward to keep the media informed of everything going on there.

MS. BREWSTER: Mr. Chairman, if I might just add. I just want to make sure that we recognize that our county tax assessor-collectors have been right by our side through all of these media events. At Single Sticker Day, we held that purposely on county day so that we could have participation from our tax assessor-collectors, and they came out in support. John Ames of Dallas County was able to speak at the press conference as well. And in turn, I was able to go out to Dallas County where I joined Mr.

1 Ames, Ron Wright of Tarrant County, and Michelle French of Denton County at a press event where Senator West also 2 3 attended. 4 So we're very much getting the word out and it's very helpful to have the partnership of our tax 5 6 assessor-collectors. So thank you. 7 I'll also be going out to Lubbock County next 8 Friday to do another press event with Lubbock County Tax 9 Assessor-Collector Ronnie Keister. 10 MS. LOVE: I'm happy to answer any questions. MR. WALKER: Anybody have any questions for Ms. 11 12 Love? 13 MS. LOVE: My day is tomorrow, Valentine's Day 14 Love. 15 (General talking and laughter.) 16 MR. WALKER: Okay. We have one last item on the agenda, Projects and Operations, and Ms. Ryan is not 17 18 here, so I guess we can go to Judy to give us an update 19 real quick on her particular committee over there. MS. SANDBERG: Good afternoon. For the record, 20 21 I'm Judy Sandberg. I'm the director of the Enterprise 22 Project Management Office here at DMV. My role this 23 afternoon is to provide you a report on some of the 24 enterprise projects we're working on; I will not be

seeking a decision from you today.

25

My report, a copy of it is in your briefing book, and it begins on page 139. You've already heard a good deal of information about single sticker project.

I'm happy to report to you that it is on track and going well, and today is actually a milestone day for us because we wrap up user acceptance testing today, and we have not had any defects found during testing in the last couple of days, so that is going very well and we seem to be on track with that.

The one issue that continues is the implementation by H.E.B., and they still report to us that they are on track to be ready to go sometime between March 8 and March 17. I believe there have been communications with our county offices to make sure that they're aware of these particular issues, and we're still hopeful that they will be ready to go by or before March 17.

Moving on to the RTS refactoring project, that project is also in a state of green light now. They are in also a milestone event. As of yesterday they began user acceptance testing on the refactored code, including single sticker code, and we are very excited to have staff from the counties who are here, arrived day before yesterday, to actually participate in the testing with us, and we believe that that will really add a significant benefit to making sure that the test cases are thoroughly

tested and we identify any particular issues that need to be addressed.

Since I was last able to report to you, we have had some focus groups from the TAC offices, and we've received favorable feedback from those visits. Generally, the participants found the look, feel and ease of use to be positive, so that was good to know.

We are moving forward with our plans for the actual rollout of refactored code to offices in phases.

That begins on April 13 and will be concluded around June 12. We are also still on track to migrate off of the mainframe into a server environment by August 3.

The one issue that we are really watching closely is a stage of testing for the mainframe integration testing but we have seen some significant improvement in the last two or three days, but that's the one item we're really watching carefully right now and moving forward.

Any questions about refactoring?
(No response.)

MS. SANDBERG: So that brings me to the WebDealer project which I'm also pleased to report to you is in a state of green. There have been a few changes that have been managed by the executive steering committee, as well as the governance team, over WebDealer.

These changes, for the most part, are an effort to improve or increase the adoption rate of WebDealer. The executives decided to add a couple of new phases, one for commercial fleet buyers. That one is the one we are currently working on now to assist entities like car rental companies with the single sticker implementation. And then also the eTags, replacement of the current outdated eTag system.

2.5

They also re-prioritized the order in which the phases will be implemented. Of course, new cars is already available for statewide use. Used cars is in the final stages of pilot. The next phase that we will implement is commercial fleet buyers, then centralized payment, eTags, salvage, eTitles and private party sales.

One of the things that allowed us to add these additional phases is a savings that was realized in contractor costs in the last fiscal year that made it possible to expand the scope of work, hopefully for the benefit of the public as well as dealers and our stakeholders and partners.

That will extend the schedule by about 13 months, so we have a new end date for WebDealer in February of 2017, but it still keeps us within our budget that we report to the Texas quality assurance team.

Any questions about WebDealer?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. PALACIOS: Yes, I do, Ms. Sandberg. What's the participation rate? Do you know what it is amongst new car dealers across the state, I guess, by county or by dealership? I would assume it's by county first and then you break that down.

MS. SANDBERG: I don't have information for you by county today, but I can tell you today about the total adoption is about 289 dealerships at this point in time.

MR. PALACIOS: That seems very low.

MS. SANDBERG: I think some of the attempts at the changes that we've mentioned are aimed at improving that rate. Also, we have a document imaging strategy that we are rolling out soon that we hear from dealers is another factor that may improve or increase that adoption rate.

MR. PALACIOS: What are we doing, I guess, to get the word out about the WebDealer amongst, I guess, the TACs and the dealerships?

MR. KUNTZ: For the record, Jeremiah Kuntz, director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.

There have been quite a few efforts to do training sessions at the county level by our regional service centers, so really, the strategy we've been taking is for our 16 regional service managers to really engage with their local community, with the county tax assessor-

collectors in those communities to try and get them to participate.

What we've seen a lot of in recent months is that there are some issues surrounding the document imaging, so the scanning, that we are going to be resolving with the next release which is coming out in concert with 760 for RTS. The single sticker release will also include some enhancements to WebDealer, and those enhancements include the ability for a dealership to scan all of their documents as one scanned image. Previously they had to scan each document separately and then upload each one of those separately into the system. Now they can just stack all the paperwork on a scanner, scan it into one PDF and attach the one PDF.

The other improvement that we've added to the system was for the counties on the document viewing side, so once those images are scanned in, when they hit the county office, the county actually wanted a side-by-side to where they could compare what was written on those scanned documents with what is actually entered into WebDealer. We've actually created a side-by-side viewing to where they can actually see the document and what's entered as far as metadata in the system at the same time.

We anticipate that those two items will make a dramatic improvement for both the counties as well as

dealers on the adoption rate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second part that we're really seeing is we've gone through pilot with used autos. Once we go statewide with the used auto, we believe that there will be another high adoption rate with new car dealers that do both sides of the business, they've got a new and used car side to their business, as well as opening the door the larger population which is the used auto dealer population. As you know, most of the dealerships that are licensed in the state are licensed as used autos, not new I believe we had some of those numbers. I think on the new car side is about 2,700 new franchise dealers and it was about 16- or 17,000 used car dealers. So adding functionality for used car dealers obviously would give us a much more open door for them to be able to come in and participate.

The other things that Judy has laid out in the re-prioritization of some of those new phases we believe will, again, build on that momentum as far as adoption rate, bringing eTags in too so that they're into the system so that there's a one-stop shop for dealers. They can have an integrated system where the eTag prints at the end of the transaction we believe will be a huge benefit to them instead of having to log into two different systems.

1 Also, centralized processing of payments we're 2 hoping will help streamline and simplify this for both the 3 counties, as well as the dealers, so that they don't have 4 to set up separate ACH transactions with each and every 5 dealership and each and every county that they want to process transactions. We still have a lot of work to do 6 7 on those to get the requirements done on that, but we think that these will make great strides towards 8 9 improvements for this system. 10 MS. CARAWAY: Do you have a date yet as to when

the used car dealer will roll out statewide?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SANDBERG: We are looking at early March to get past the deployment of the single sticker, as well as that will include this document imaging improvement that Jeremiah was referring to. I believe we want to roll it out in a very careful manner to make sure that we're monitoring the volume of images to make sure that that works properly, and so we'll be looking at that very closely, but we should be ready in early March to start taking it further.

MR. PALACIOS: Are you talking about statewide early in March, three weeks?

MS. SANDBERG: Yes, sir.

MR. KUNTZ: And as far as the pilot for used cars, we've not seen any major issues that have been

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

reported to us by the pilot dealerships. We've been running a substantial amount of transactions through. We had a dealership in Bexar County, one in Travis County, and then also Mr. Ingram's dealership running transactions, and we have not had any major issues that have been reported to us on the used car side of the system.

MR. WALKER: I have one more question, and it may be more appropriate for maybe Ms. Caraway or Mr. Ames back here. Why did I get a receipt this week, two of them, as a matter of fact, on some licenses that we renewed at our office and they came to me, and on the receipt and the sticker down at the bottom -- one was a window sticker and one was a trailer sticker -- it said, it was typed on there, it wasn't like it was part of the form, it said WebDealer on that piece of paper on the receipt. Why did that show up on my registration, do you know?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ KUNTZ: We can take a look at it and get back to you.

MR. WALKER: I was just curious. Do you know the answer to that?

(Gentleman speaking from audience.)

MR. WALKER: So explain that to me, because does that have anything to do with -- would you come to

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

the mic? 1 2 MR. JONES: Dennis Jones, Travis County Tax 3 Office. 4 When you process a WebDealer transaction, up on 5 the top of the receipt it identifies it as a WebDealer 6 transaction, the same as if you do a WebAgent transaction, 7 it identifies it as a WebAgent transaction so that we in 8 the tax office know what type of transaction was actually processed. 9 10 MR. WALKER: So let me ask you this question. This came from our office, we would have sent a check in, 11 12 somebody said it's time to renew. One of these is a boat 13 trailer and one of them was pickup truck, but they came 14 back and these are multi-year-old vehicles. MR. JONES: It should have been a new vehicle 15 16 processed by a dealership. 17 MR. WALKER: These are not new, these are used vehicles. 18 19 They're just renewals, Dennis. MS. CARAWAY: 20 MR. JONES: It must have been a WebAgent 21 instead of a WebDealer, Mr. Walker. 22 MR. WALKER: So what does WebAgent mean? 23 MR. JONES: WebAgent is identifying something 24 that was processed at a grocery store or one of your

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

subcontractors in your county.

25

1 MR. KUNTZ: Chairman Walker, if I might. 2 could get with you after. 3 MR. WALKER: I was just curious why it was 4 typed on there. 5 SPEAKER FROM AUDIENCE: Was it in Harris 6 County? 7 MR. WALKER: Yes. SPEAKER FROM AUDIENCE: It's our remittance 8 9 scanner machine. They go through WebAgents so that's why it says WebAgent. 10 11 MR. WALKER: I was just curious why it said 12 that on there. I thought maybe it was going through the 13 web process and what's the relationship that I couldn't 14 figure out what it was. I have a question for you afterwards, though. 15 16 (General talking and laughter.) 17 MS. SANDBERG: Any other questions about WebDealer? 18 19 MR. WALKER: No, ma'am. 20 MS. SANDBERG: Moving on to the LACE project. 21 That project is red, it continues to be red primarily 22 because of our procurement process that we're in. 23 limited in what I can say about it because we are in the 24 procurement stages. I believe I can report to you that we 25

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

have gone through one cycle of review, we are now in the

second cycle of review to determine if we can select a vendor. And once we do that, then we will take a look at the schedule and our budget, and if we need to make changes in the end date, then we will go forward to the governance team to present that.

Right now the planned end date for this project is actually February 2017, so it's still possible that we can meet that date -- I'm sorry -- 2016, I misspoke, February 2016.

Moving on to the CAPPS projects. CAPPS financial, actually there has been an update since the time that I provided this report to you. This report indicates that we were withholding payment on reports to the Comptroller's Office, but since that time there have been discussions between Ms. Flores's office and the Comptroller's Office, and Ms. Brewster has been involved, and there's been progress noted, so I believe we have reached an agreement where we will proceed with payment and continue to monitor their progress in hopes of moving towards closeout of that project.

The CAPPS HR and payroll project continues to move forward. A portion of that project is on track to be implemented in May. That includes the personnel transactions, time and labor, position management and payroll. There have been some interesting circumstances

surrounding other portions of the project, particularly related to the recruiting solution, job postings and applications, learning and performance management. There were some contract issues between the Texas Department of Information Resources and the Oracle database vendor. It's my understanding that contract has now been signed and a proposed implementation date has been presented to the agency of September. It will be put before the governance team to determine whether we will accept that date in going forward.

The communications projects are green. The headquarters infrastructure project is scheduled to be finished the end of this month. We are at this moment on track but we are very closely watching whether we can implement some final implementation surrounding faxes, fax machines -- or not fax machines but fax numbers related to transitioning to our own network and the implementation of the VoIP phones.

The call center project is moving along well. We are on schedule to implement the call center in the Consumer Rights Division, CRD, by February 27. Testing and training actually begins on Monday on the holiday.

The infrastructure project of installing VoIP phones in the regional service centers and where necessary improving their cabling is also proceeding quite well. The

offices where we have completed installation are listed for you on page 145. One change, El Paso has been added to offices where the VoIP phones have been installed. We are on track to complete VoIP installation in all those offices by April 21.

And lastly, I'm pleased to report to you that we have closed a project with the approval of the governance team. The temp/permanent project closed on schedule, within scope, and actually about \$32,000 under budget. So we are very pleased to report to you that over 18,000 permits have now been purchased online and we expect that to increase.

Any questions?

MR. WALKER: No, but make sure Linda knows bout that \$32,000 so she can rathole it. I've got a feeling we're going to need it.

MS. SANDBERG: I may already need it for another project.

MS. FLORES: That was going to be my comment.

I think Judy has already spent that a couple of times

over.

MS. SANDBERG: But I stayed in budget.

MR. WALKER: It's kind of like my wife, you know, she saved on something but she spent it someplace else.

1	(General laughter.)
2	MR. WALKER: I think Mr. Harbeson is next on
3	his report on Enforcement actions.
4	MR. HARBESON: Good afternoon. My name is Bill
5	Harbeson. I am the director of the Enforcement Division,
6	and everything is going great in Enforcement.
7	MR. WALKER: Thank you for your report.
8	MR. HARBESON: Do any of you have any
9	questions?
10	MR. WALKER: I had to have a little fun today,
11	Bill.
12	MR. HARBESON: That's all right, sir.
13	MR. WALKER: I notice you were kind of nodding
14	back there every once in a while.
15	MR. HARBESON: No, sir. I was merely
16	contemplating your thoughts, but we're going to go out and
17	check the lot to make sure everybody's cars are properly
18	registered, starting on your large truck.
19	(General talking and laughter.)
20	MR. WALKER: Ms. Flores, you are up. Tell us
21	about our building plans.
22	MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.
23	As you know, the agency worked with the Texas
24	Facilities Commission to engage an architectural firm to
25	give us a better idea of what kind of footprint this

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

agency would need. They looked at it from two perspectives: our current staff, and then we also asked them to look at if Drivers License were to come over, what would that look like. So we met with a firm called O'Connell Robertson here in Austin, and if you'll turn to page 158, that's really the heart of the deliberations that we came to.

The space requirements for the agency as it is today would require approximately 146,000 square feet.

That also include 610 parking spaces for this agency.

MR. BARNWELL: The 146,000 square feet includes 600 parking places, or 600 parking places are in addition to the 146,000?

MS. FLORES: It's in addition to.

And we looked at possible locations here in Austin, or in Central Texas, basically, either in northern Travis County or southern Williamson County where land is more affordable than in central Austin. They did indicate that we would need at least nine acres of land to put a building. The report kind of gave the agency options to consider moving forward. They also gave us better numbers when it came to the cost of a building, and that's what we used for our exceptional item.

So in today's dollars that number was \$55 million. If it were to take approximately six years, it

would go up to \$69 million, I believe was their final number. That included a contingency for TFC to help manage the project, as well as a contingency for the building. We used all of this information, as I said, to come up with the revised exceptional item request. Their report only included costs for the building. On top of that we added costs for operations, to move our staff, to have some security systems put in place, utilities maintenance, grounds maintenance. So that's what we have revised that exceptional item request to be, it's \$58.7 million.

2.5

We've also compiled information. If we're not successful in getting a building built, we could potentially lease a building, so we've included those costs and that's approximately \$19 million for the biennium. We are very open to any other suggestions that the legislators may have for us, whether that's acquiring a shell of a building somewhere and modifying that to fit our needs. If Drivers License were to come over, we'd be adding another 400 people to this agency, so we've also got that data. In case a fiscal bill is introduced that would transfer that function over to us, we have some data that would support a fiscal note submitted to the Legislative Budget Board.

MR. WALKER: I apologize for interrupting, but

we have already gone to DPS and so how do we know how many people that is?

MS. FLORES: I think this will be he second legislative session where we will see legislation introduced that transfers that function over to us. It's happened before. Last legislative session I believe it was Ruth McClendon who introduced a bill. It didn't go anywhere, but she introduced a bill to transfer that function to us, so we went through a massive exercise of identifying how many people that entails over at DPS, so we had that type of information that we used for this.

MR. WALKER: So we know that the requirement is 400 people, but our number of \$58 million that we have out there today in our exception item does or does not include?

MS. FLORES: It does not.

MR. WALKER: So if in this particular session they were to say, oh, by the way, guess what, you're now in charge of Drivers License, we would have to go back to our exception item and say, hey, we don't have enough room in the building we're proposing?

MS. FLORES: The actual bill fiscal impact that will create will have that information in there so the Legislative Budget Board, we won't have to go back, they'll put it together.

1	MR. WALKER: And so the nine-acre requirement
2	could become twelve acres.
3	MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.
4	MR. WALKER: And the 600 parking places
5	becomes, obviously, over a thousand parking places.
6	MS. FLORES: More. Yes, sir. And that is true
7	for any other function that may be proposed to be
8	transferred to the DMV.
9	MR. WALKER: And so there's also speculation
10	and rumor that somebody has said, well, hey, what about
11	the vehicle inspection management program.
12	MS. FLORES: We estimate another 200 people
13	associated with that function.
14	MR. WALKER: So we have considered the 200 on
15	this deal also?
16	MS. FLORES: Yes, sir.
17	MR. WALKER: You do good work, Linda, even
18	though you did go to Texas.
19	(General laughter.)
20	MS. FLORES: Thank you, sir.
21	So that's an update on the headquarters, and as
22	I mentioned, we did use this data to develop our
23	exceptional items request.
24	MR. WALKER: Ms. Pierce, do you have any
25	comments to make about the project since you've been

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	working on this with Ms. Flores?
2	MS. PIERCE: For the record, Ann Pierce,
3	Finance Administration.
4	She's carrying forward the same message. We've
5	been working closely with TFC.
6	MR. WALKER: And I don't know that I have done
7	this in public or not, but I want to thank you for all the
8	work that you put in on the Houston Regional Office down
9	there. I know that you headed that up and spearheaded it.
10	And have we met since it's been open, have we had a
11	meeting?
12	MS. FLORES: You actually did recognize my
13	staff. I think Ann was out that day.
14	MR. WALKER: You did a great job on that. And
15	I did go by there last week, as a matter of fact, and they
16	love it and everything is running very smoothly they told
17	me.
18	MS. PIERCE: Thank you.
19	MR. WALKER: What I was shocked about, however,
20	is I could have sworn that we figured that that parking
21	lot was more than ample, and the day I went it was packed,
22	the parking lot was totally full.
23	You are next up, I think. How long are you
24	going to take to tell us how good a job you're doing?
25	MS. BREWSTER: How great the agency is doing?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. WALKER: Oh, want to do Jeremiah? Did I skip order?

MS. BREWSTER: Jeremiah is going to say how great I am.

MR. KUNTZ: Whitney Brewster is doing a wonderful job.

(General laughter.)

2.5

MR. WALKER: What else can you tell us,

Jeremiah, that you haven't already told us today?

MR. KUNTZ: For the record, Jeremiah Kuntz,

director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.

Agenda item 4.C.3 is an update on the Vehicle
Titles and Registration Working Group. The last meeting
that was held for the full Vehicle Titles and Registration
Working Group was on December 8. We continue our
discussions about the deputy rules that would come before
this board and how those would be structured for the
duties and obligations of full service, limited service
and dealer deputies.

Since then we've also had an additional meeting with the county tax assessor-collector leadership from their association to further talk about the specific language that was in those rules. We have a tentative meeting scheduled for March 9 for the next meeting to get back together and discuss where we are with the rules as

it relates to the deputies that are going to be considered.

Ms. Flores had mentioned previously we are still waiting TTI's study on the cost to process title and registration transactions in the counties, as well as the deputy offices. Once we get that, we will be going over that with staff and the board and then eventually letting the working group take a look at that so that we can finalize the rules and set the compensation levels for all of the deputies that are being proposed. Right now we've not taken up and considered any of those rates in the rules that we've discussed in that working group. We've been waiting for that report so that we'd have information to be able to discuss those fully.

At the March 9 we're still trying to finalize the agenda, but some of the things that we possibly would be talking about would be finalizing those rules, potentially talking about implementation of the single sticker program which would be nine days in at that point, also looking at the implement of WebDealer -- that's another charge of that working group -- so we'll be talking to them about our re-prioritization of the different phases to make sure everybody is aware of those items as well. As we get that finalized, we'll get that out to the working group so that they have ample time to

get prepared for that meeting.

2.5

And that concludes my report.

MR. SLOVACEK: Thank you. I'm in charge, let's get this done. Bring in the hamburgers.

(General laughter.)

MS. BREWSTER: For the record, my name is Whitney Brewster. I'm the executive director.

I am providing the board my executive report of the agency's key performance measures. You should have a handout that looks like this in front of you. This is the first month that we're reporting out on the new key performance measures adopted by the board in September.

Just a reminder, the executive team went through a process of updating our performance measures, also changing our targets to make them a little more challenging for us to attain, and so this is the first time that we've reported out under the new measures.

Also, moving forward the agency will start presenting the key performance on a quarterly basis in a new format. On the second page you'll see that. The agency has procured new software to score and graph our key performance measures, and you've been provided an example of what that would look like. There's a lot of benefits of this. The new program will assist us in making better and more informed decisions. It will also

show much more clearly any trending that the agency has in its key performance measures.

And also, right now it's a very, very manual process for compiling our key performance indicators; this will streamline the process. I'm sure you're much more accustomed to looking at dashboards like the one on the second page, and so hopefully it will be a little more telling for you as to how the agency is doing and our trends.

On the final page is the calendar that we'll be reporting out first quarter, all of the quarters. If we do not end up having a board meeting on the date that we would have reported out, I will make sure that I send this out to the board in electronic format so that you have those available, and then at year-end we'll obviously look at the trend for the entire year. We're just continuing to improve the way that we report out.

And with that, I'd be happy to entertain any questions that you have.

MR. SLOVACEK: Will I'm stalling for Johnny, I want to take a moment and say, David, I appreciate the work that you've done for us on the board, for your legal advice on the executive sessions we have. I know lawyers never get complimented. I want to tell you thank you very much. Your staff has done a great job, everybody here. I

1	know it's a little stressful when we show up sometimes,
2	but Terri, for being the liaison, keeping us out of
3	trouble. Really, I just want to tell you personally that
4	I very much appreciate the preparation, the organization,
5	the implementation of everything you guys do. It makes
6	our job very easy. And you've got a wonderful board.
7	Each individual here really takes this job seriously and I
8	think appreciates, as I do, what everybody does. And we
9	don't tell you thank you often enough, but thank you very
10	much.
11	MS. BREWSTER: Thank you.
12	MR. SLOVACEK: I'm going to adjourn this
13	meeting.
14	Unless there's any further business, I'd like
15	to entertain a motion to adjourn.
16	MR. RUSH: I make it.
17	MR. WALKER: Second.
18	MR. SLOVACEK: Motion by Marvin Rush, seconded
19	by the Aggie, Chairman Walker. All in favor?
20	(A chorus of ayes.)
21	MR. SLOVACEK: Let the record reflect it's
22	unanimous.
23	(Whereupon, at 12:47 p.m., the meeting was
24	concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

MEETING OF: TxDMV Board

4 LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: February 13, 2015

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 140, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles.

/s/ Nancy H. King 02/25/2015 (Transcriber) (Date)

On the Record Reporting 3636 Executive Ctr Dr., G-22 Austin, Texas 78731